	.1	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARTIN WARE,	No. 2:12-cv-1505 TLN KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	M. McDONALD, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Defendants have filed a motion for extension of time to respond to plaintiff's third	
18	amended complaint. Good cause appearing, defendants' motion is granted.	
19	On December 13, 2013, plaintiff filed a motion for reconsideration, asking the court to	
20	grant his November 22, 2013 motion for reconsideration, and inquiring as to the status of his	
21	notice of submission of documents for service of process on defendants. However, on December	
22	3, 2013, plaintiff's November 22, 2013 motion for reconsideration was denied as untimely. The	
23	court received plaintiff's documents for service of process, and on October 17, 2013, the U.S.	
24	Marshal was ordered to serve defendants. Although the U.S. Marshal has not yet filed a return of	
25	service, it appears from defendants' December 20, 2013 filing that all defendants have either been	
26	served or waived service of process. Therefore, plaintiff's December 13, 2013 motion is moot	
27	and is denied.	
28	////	

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. Defendants' motion for an extension of time (ECF No. 55) is granted; 2. Defendants shall respond to plaintiff's third amended complaint on or before January 10, 2014; and 3. Plaintiff's motion (ECF No. 54) is denied. Dated: December 27, 2013 /ware1505.eot UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE