1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:12-cv-1518-TLN-EFB P LATHAHN McELROY, 12 Plaintiff. 13 v. **ORDER** 14 GUSTAFSON, 15 Defendant. 16 17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. His pretrial statement is long overdue. See ECF No. 115. On December 6, 2015, 18 19 he prepared a certificate of service, representing to the court that he had mailed a pretrial 20 statement for filing. ECF No. 118. The court, however, is not in receipt of it. In light of a misfiling in the Clerk's Office, it is possible that the statement was misplaced. In any event, on 21 22 December 17, 2015, the court granted plaintiff an additional 21 days to submit his pretrial 23 statement. ECF No. 119. In response, plaintiff states that he does not have a copy of the misplaced pretrial statement. ECF No. 121. Therefore, the court will grant plaintiff another 30 24 25 <sup>1</sup> On December 21, 2015, a notice was filed by a different plaintiff proceeding in a separate civil action stating that the Clerk had mailed to that plaintiff "a petition" bearing this 26 case number and belonging to plaintiff Lathawn McElroy, the plaintiff herein. See Maldonado v. Lizarraga, Case No. 2:15-cv-2436-WBS-EFB, ECF No. 7. Thus, it is entirely possible that the 27 confusion in the Clerk's Office resulted in the misplacement of plaintiff McElroy's pretrial 28 statement.

days within which to prepare and file another pretrial statement. In light of the previous 21 days in which a statement was not submitted, the court is not inclined to extend this time any further. So ordered. Dated: January 20, 2016. EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE