1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	CONNECTICUT ELECTRIC, INC.,		
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-1533-KJM-EFB		
12	VS.		
13	PACIFIC COAST BREAKER, INC.; PC SYSTEMS, INC.,		
14	Defendants. ORDER		
15			
16	On October 19, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion to compel defendants to respond to		
17	plaintiff's discovery requests. Dckt. No. 15. The motion was originally noticed for hearing on		
18	November 14, 2012; however, on November 8, 2012, pursuant to the parties' request, the hearing		
19	was continued to December 12, 2012. Dckt. No. 17.		
20	Local Rule 251(a) provides that the Joint Statement Re Discovery Disagreement must be		
21	filed at least seven days before the scheduled hearing date. E.D. Cal. L.R. 251(a). Local Rule		
22	2 251(a) also provides that the hearing on a discovery motion may be dropped from calendar		
23	without prejudice if the Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement is not timely filed. Id.		
24	Although the deadline has passed, the docket reveals that no Joint Statement re Discovery		
25	Disagreement has been filed in connection with plaintiff's motion to compel. Therefore, that		
26	////		
	1		

1	motion, Dckt. No. 15, is denied	without prejudice and the December 12, 2012 hearing thereon is
2	vacated.	
3	SO ORDERED.	al. Ma
4	DATED: December 6, 2012.	EDMIND & PRENNAN
5		EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23 24		
24 25		
25 26		
20		
		2
I	II	

I

I