Lee et al v. Yang et al

Doc. 184

- 1			
1	WHEREAS, the parties to the above matter entered into a Settlement Agreement which was		
2	preliminarily approved by the Court on January 7, 2015;		
3	WHEREAS, the Court entered a final approval order granting class action settlement on		
4	August 17, 2015;		
5	WHEREAS, the parties have carried out the terms of the Settlement Agreement and the Court		
6	Order;		
7	WHEREAS, the checks were sent out by Heffler Claims Group with a letter in Hmong,		
8	explaining the check as being from a settlement and that it should be cashed;		
9	WHEREAS, the checks have now past their stale date;		
10	WHEREAS, the current balance in the class administrator's account is 98,902.39;		
11	WHEREAS, there are outstanding invoices for class administration in the amount of		
12	\$15,656.53;		
13	WHEREAS, after deduction for the costs of class administration, there is a remaining		
14	\$83,245.86 for distribution to the <i>cy pres</i> recipient;		
15	WHEREAS, the parties had agreed and the Court had approved as the cy pres recipient the		
16	Hmong Woman's Heritage;		
17	WHEREAS, the parties have attempted to locate the Hmong Woman's Heritage, but have		
18	determined that the organization is no longer in existence, that its website is no longer up, and the		
19	phones have been disconnected;		
20	WHEREAS, the parties therefore believe it is appropriate to select alternative recipient for <i>cy</i>		
21	pres;		
22	WHEREAS, the parties believe the California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation and the		
23	California Rural Legal Assistance would be appropriate recipients.		
24	THE PARTIES STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS:		
25	Based upon the fact that the Hmong Women's Heritage Association is no longer in existence,		
26	its website is down, and the phones have been disconnected, the parties stipulate the appropriate		
27	alternative recipients of the <i>cy pres</i> after payment of class administration is the California Rural Lega		

1	Assistance Foundation and the California Rural Legal Assistance are appropriate recipients and		
2	should receive <i>cy pres</i> in equal amounts.		
3	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
4	Dated: November 10, 2016	KEMNITZER, BARRON & KRIEG, LLP	
5			
6	By:	/s/ Bryan Kemnitzer	
7		BRYAN KEMNITZER Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8			
9	Dated: September, 2016	THE COSTA LAW FIRM	
10			
11	By:	DANIEL P. COSTA	
12		Attorneys for Defendants YIA YANG, YIA YANG dba YIA'S AUTO SALES and YIA'S AUTO	
13		SALES, INC.	
14		ORDER	
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	in the amount of \$41,622.93 in checks made out to the California Rural Legal Assistance		
21	Foundation and the California Rural Legal Assistance.		
22	SO ORDERED.		
23	Dated: November 16, 2016		
24	, , , , ,	- My - Mintel	
25		Troy L. Nunley United States District Judge	
26		Simod States District Stage	
27			
28			