1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTONIO R. WILLIAMS,	No. 2:12-cv-1588 LKK CKD P
12	Petitioner,	
13	V.	ORDER
14	WARDEN, CALIFORNIA STATE PRISON, LOS ANGELES COUNTY,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with an application	
18	for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Respondent's motion to dismiss the	
19	petition for untimeliness has been briefed and is pending. Petitioner recently filed three motions:	
20	a motion to stay this action to exhaust unexhausted claims (ECF No. 31), a motion "seeking relief	
21	from judgment of conviction" (ECF No. 35), and a motion for extension of time to obtain	
22	transcripts from a preliminary hearing (ECF No. 36). As explained below, all of the motions are	
23	frivolous and will be denied.	
24	Petitioner's previous motion to stay this action pursuant to Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269	
25	(2005), was denied, as petitioner failed to show good cause for not exhausting certain claims or	
26	that such claims were potentially meritorious. (ECF No. 21.) Nothing in petitioner's most recent	
27	motion to stay compels a different conclusion; indeed, petitioner does not even address the Rhines	
28	factors.	1
		1

1	Petitioner's motion challenging his conviction is inapposite, as that is the subject of the	
2	petition in this action.	
3	Finally, petitioner seeks an extension of time to obtain court transcripts. This is	
4	unnecessary. If and when respondent files an answer to the petition, it "shall be accompanied by	
5	all transcripts and other documents relevant to the issues presented in the petition." ECF No. 24	
6	at 2.	
7	Petitioner's filing of frivolous motions is a burden on this court and impedes the proper	
8	prosecution of this action. Thus petitioner's future filings shall therefore be limited as set forth	
9	below.	
10	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:	
11	1. Plaintiff's motion to stay (ECF No. 31) is denied;	
12	2. Plaintiff's motion for release from judgment of conviction (ECF No. 35) is denied;	
13	3. Plaintiff's motion for extension of time to obtain transcripts (ECF No. 36) is denied;	
14	4. Petitioner may only file the following documents:	
15	a. One opposition to any motion filed by respondent (and clearly titled as such);	
16	b. Only one motion pending at any time. Petitioner is limited to one memorandum	
17	of points and authorities in support of the motion and one reply to any opposition;	
18	c. One set of objections to any future findings and recommendations;	
19	d. One reply to any future answer filed by respondent.	
20	Failure to comply with this order shall result in improperly filed documents being	
21	stricken from the record.	
22	Dated: September 17, 2013 Caroh U. Delany	
23	CAROLYN K. DELANEY	
24	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
25		
26		
27	2 / will1588.limit	
28		
	2	