(PC)Ellis v. F	v. Reddy	
1	1	
2		
2 3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9		
10		
11		
12	2 vs.	
13	REDDY,	
14	4 Defendant. <u>ORDER</u>	
15	5 /	
16	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action	
17	seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate	
18	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
19	On May 7, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein	
20	which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to	
21	the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party has filed	
22	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
23	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be	
24	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS H	EREBY
25	ORDERED that:	
26	6 ////	
	1	

Doc. 27

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26

 $1. \ \, \text{The findings and recommendations filed May 7, 2013 (ECF No. 23), are adopted in full.}$

2. Defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 12), is granted without prejudice to plaintiff filing an amended complaint.¹

DATED: September 20, 2013

/s/ John A. Mendez

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

¹ Plaintiff has now filed an Amended Complaint (ECF No. 24), which shall be reviewed by the magistrate judge.