1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., ex rel. LOYD F. SCHMUCKLEY, JR.,	No. 2:12-cv-1699-KJM-EFB
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	RITE AID CORPORATION,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. LOYD F. SCHMUCKLEY, JR.,	
18 19	Plaintiffs,	
19 20	v.	
20 21	RITE AID CORPORATION,	
21	Defendant.	
22		
24	Plaintiff State of California filed a mot	ion for a protective order regarding a deposition
25	Plaintiff State of California filed a motion for a protective order regarding a deposition, and noticed the motion for hearing on November 28, 2018. ECF No. 151. Local Rule 251(a)	
26	provides that the Joint Statement Re Discovery Disagreement must be filed at least seven days	
27	before the scheduled hearing date or, in this instance, by November 21, 2018. Local Rule 251(a)	
28		
		1

1	also provides that the hearing on a discovery motion may be dropped from calendar without	
2	prejudice if the Joint Statement re Discovery Disagreement is not timely filed. Id.	
3	Although the deadline has passed, the docket reflects that no Joint Statement re Discovery	
4	Disagreement has been filed in connection with the State of California's motion for a protective	
5	order. Therefore, the discovery motion (ECF No. 151) is denied without prejudice and the	
6	November 28, 2018 hearing thereon is vacated.	
7	So Ordered.	
8	DATED: November 26, 2018.	
9	EDMUND F. BRENNAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	
	=	