SAN FRANCISCO

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ERIC W. SITARCHUK, Admitted pro hac vice eric.sitarchuk@morganlewis.com KELLY A. MOORE, Admitted pro hac vice kelly.moore@morganlewis.com BENJAMIN P. SMITH, Bar No. 197551 benjamin.smith@morganlewis.com KEVIN M. PAPAY, Bar No. 274161 kevin.papay@morganlewis.com One Market, Spear Street Tower San Francisco, California 94105-1596 Tel: +1.415.442.1000; Fax: +1.415.442.1001 Attorneys for Defendant RITE AID CORPORATION		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12			
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and the STATES OF CALIFORNIA, et al., ex rel.	Case No. 2:12-cv-01699-KJM-EFB	
14	LOYD F. SCHMUCKLEY, JR.,	PARTIES' JOINT MOTION TO AMEND DEADLINES RELATED TO	
15	Plaintiffs,	IN CAMERA REVIEW OF RITE AID BOARD MATERIALS; [PROPOSED]	
16	V.	ORDER	
17	RITE AID CORPORATION,	RELATED TO ECF NO. 294, 295	
18	Defendant.		
19	STATE OF CALIFORNIA, ex rel. LOYD F. SCHMUCKLEY, JR.,		
20	Plaintiffs,		
21	v.		
22	RITE AID CORPORATION,		
23	Defendant.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO		PARTIES' JOINT MOTION TO AMEND DEADLINES RE: IN CAMERA REVIEW	

DEADLINES RE: IN CAMERA REVIEW Case No. 2:12-cv-01699-KJM-EFB

2 3

PARTIES' JOINT MOTION TO AMEND DEADLINES RELATED TO IN CAMERA REVIEW OF RITE AID BOARD MATERIALS

TO THE HONORABLE COURT:

Plaintiff-Intervenor State of California ("California"), *Qui Tam* Plaintiff Loyd F. Schmuckley, Jr. ("Relator," together with California, "Plaintiffs"), and Defendant Rite Aid Corporation ("Defendant" or "Rite Aid," together with Plaintiffs, the "Parties"), by and through their respective counsel of record, for good cause shown, hereby request this Court to extend the deadlines for (1) Rite Aid to submit for *in camera* review Rite Aid board agendas, minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices ("Board Materials") claimed to contain privileged information, along with a supporting declaration, (2) Rite Aid to produce any Board Materials determined by Rite Aid to not contain privileged information; and (3) California to file a response to Rite Aid's declaration, all as ordered by this Court on March 16, 2020 (ECF No. 295).

On March 11, 2020, the Parties filed a joint request for instructions regarding the *in camera* review of Rite Aid's Board Materials. ECF No. 294. The Parties' joint request sought clarification regarding the scope of Rite Aid's *in camera* submission, as well as an extension until April 8, 2020 for Rite Aid to conduct its privilege review of Board Materials that Rite Aid contended were not within the scope of California's Requests for Production and therefore had not previously been reviewed for privilege or produced.

On March 16, 2020, the Court ordered Rite Aid to (1) submit for *in camera* review all documents identified in Rite Aid's December 20, 2019, January 10, 2020, and February 18, 2020 privilege logs; (2) submit for *in camera* review all Board Materials—including the tabs, binders, and appendices referenced in the parties' March 11, 2020 joint request—claimed to contain privileged information; (3) submit supporting declarations explaining the basis for each assertion of attorney-client privilege; (4) serve redacted versions of the supporting declarations on California; and (5) produce Board Materials determined to not contain privileged information, all by April 8, 2020. ECF No. 295. The Court also ordered California to file a response to Rite Aid's declaration(s) by April 29, 2020. ECF No. 295.

On or about March 17, 2020, Rite Aid's headquarters closed for at least two weeks due to

SAN FRANCISCO

the coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic. On March 19, Rite Aid informed California that as a result of this closure, Rite Aid cannot access—and therefore cannot review for privilege or collect for potential production—a subset of Board Materials that are stored in hard-copy format at Rite Aid's headquarters (the "Inaccessible Board Materials"). The Inaccessible Board Materials include, but are not limited to, Board Materials that correspond to five board meetings that are the subject of Rite Aid's ordered *in camera* submission: April 2007, January 2010, April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011. Rite Aid therefore asked California to stipulate to extend the deadline for Rite Aid to review and/or produce Board Materials for those five meetings by two weeks to April 22, 2020, with the potential for a further extension if Rite Aid's office closure continues beyond two weeks. On March 20, California agreed to Rite Aid's requested extension.¹

Rite Aid does not believe that its current office closure will interfere with Rite Aid's ability to complete its review, submission, and/or production of the majority of Board Materials at issue, only those corresponding to the five meetings identified above.

In light of these significant, unanticipated developments, the Parties jointly request the following modification of the deadlines in the Court's March 16, 2020 order:

Event	Current Date	Proposed Date
	(ECF No. 295)	
Rite Aid to submit for <i>in camera</i> review (with a	April 8, 2020	April 8, 2020 (same)
supporting declaration) Rite Aid board agendas,		
minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices		
claimed to contain privileged information, <i>except</i> for		
those corresponding to the April 2007, January 2010,		
April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board meetings		

¹ Because of Rite Aid corporate headquarters' closure due to the COVID-19 pandemic, California learned on March 20, 2020, that Rite Aid's pre-September 2011 board materials were not electronically stored but rather were kept in hard copies, which Rite Aid's Morgan Lewis attorney manually reviewed to determine the board materials responsive to RPD Nos. 23-26 (which sought documents relating to pharmacy business in California). The Parties are continuing meet-and-confer discussions to resolve California's concerns regarding this issue. Without waiving any right or claim, in light of Rite Aid corporate headquarters' closure, California agrees to Rite Aid's limited extension request herein.

1	Rite Aid to submit for <i>in camera</i> review (with a	April 8, 2020	April 22, 2020		
2	supporting declaration) Rite Aid board agendas, minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices				
3	claimed to contain privileged information that correspond to the April 2007, January 2010, April				
4	2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board meetings				
5	Rite Aid to produce any Rite Aid board agendas, minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices	April 8, 2020	April 8, 2020 (same)		
6	determined by Rite Aid to not contain privileged information, <i>except</i> for those corresponding to the				
7	April 2007, January 2010, April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board meetings	1			
8	Rite Aid to produce any Rite Aid board agendas,	April 8, 2020	April 22, 2020		
9	minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices determined by Rite Aid to not contain privileged				
10	information that correspond to the April 2007, January 2010, April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board	7			
11	meetings				
12	California to file a reply to Rite Aid's declarations	April 29, 2020	May 20, 2020		
13	Respectful	lly Submitted,			
14	Dated: March 26, 2020 XAVIER	BECERRA			
15	Attorney (General of the State	of California		
16	/s/ Emman	uel R. Salazar (aut	horized on 3/24/2020)		
17	Emmanuel R. Salazar				
18	Deputy Attorney General 2329 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 200				
19	Sacramento, CA 95833-4252 Tel: (916) 621-1835; Fax: (916) 621-1835				
20		manuel.Salazar@c			
	Attorneys for Plaintiff-Intervenor				

Dated: March 26, 2020

WATERS & KRAUS LLP

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

/s/ Wm. Paul Lawrence II (authorized on 3/24/2020) Wm. Paul Lawrence II (Pro Hac Vice)

Washington D.C. Metro Office 37163 Mountville Road

Middleburg, VA 20117

Tel: (540) 687-6999; Fax: (540) 687-5457

Email: plawrence@waterskraus.com Attorneys for Qui Tam Plaintiff

LOYD F. SCHMUCKLEY, JR.

28 Morgan, Lewis & BOCKIUS LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Dated: March 26, 2020 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP /s/ Benjamin P. Smith Benjamin P. Smith Attorneys for Defendant RITE AID CORPORATION

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court, having considered the Parties' Joint Motion To Amend Deadlines Related to In Camera Review of Rite Aid Board Materials, finds good cause and ORDERS that the schedule for the Parties as follows:

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

Event	Current Date	Modified Date
	(ECF No. 295)	
Rite Aid to submit for <i>in camera</i> review (with a	April 8, 2020	April 8, 2020
supporting declaration) Rite Aid board agendas,		
minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices		
claimed to contain privileged information, <i>except</i> for		
those corresponding to the April 2007, January 2010,		
April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board meetings		
Rite Aid to submit for <i>in camera</i> review (with a	April 8, 2020	April 22, 2020
supporting declaration) Rite Aid board agendas,		
minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices		
claimed to contain privileged information that		
correspond to the April 2007, January 2010, April		
2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board meetings		
Rite Aid to produce any Rite Aid board agendas,	April 8, 2020	April 8, 2020
minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices		
determined by Rite Aid to not contain privileged		
information, <i>except</i> for those corresponding to the		
April 2007, January 2010, April 2010, April 2011, and		
June 2011 board meetings		
Rite Aid to produce any Rite Aid board agendas,	April 8, 2020	April 22, 2020
minutes, presentations, tabs, binders, and appendices	_	
determined by Rite Aid to not contain privileged		
information that correspond to the April 2007, January		
2010, April 2010, April 2011, and June 2011 board		
meetings		
California to file a reply to Rite Aid's declarations	April 29, 2020	May 20, 2020

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 27, 2020.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE