Amador V. The office ofaces department of the interior et al.		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	COUNTY OF AMADOR, CALIFORNIA,) Case No. 2:12-CV-01710 JAM-CKD
12	Plaintiff,))
13	v.))
14	THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, et al.,))
15	Defendants.))
16	Defendancs.	,)) RELATED CASE ORDER
17	NO CASINO IN PLYMOUTH and CITIZENS EQUAL RIGHTS ALLIANCE,))
18	Plaintiffs,	,)) Case No. 2:12-CV-01748 KJM-DAD
19	v.))
20	THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF))
21	THE INTERIOR, et al.,))
22	Defendants.)
23	Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that these	
24	actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 123 (E.D. Cal.	
25	2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the matters to the same judge	
26	and magistrate judge is likely to affect a substantial savings of	
27	judicial effort and is also likely to be convenient for the	
28	parties.	
ļ	1	

The parties should be aware that relating the cases under Local Rule 123 merely has the result that these actions are assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated 2:12-CV-01748-KJM-DAD be reassigned to Judge John A. Mendez and Magistrate Judge Craig M. Kellison for all further proceedings, and any dates currently set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in the reassigned cases shall be shown as 2:12-CV-01748-JAM-CMK.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 23, 2012

/s/ John A. Mendez

U. S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE