| 1 | | | |
|----|--|---|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 8 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 9 | | | |
| 10 | COUNTY OF AMADOR, CALIFORNIA, | No. 2:12-cv-1710 TLN CKD | |
| 11 | Plaintiffs, | | |
| 12 | V. | ORDER | |
| 13 | THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT | | |
| 14 | OF THE INTERIOR, et al.,, | | |
| 15 | Defendant. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | This matter is before the court on the | motion to intervene by the Ione Band of Miwok | |
| 18 | Indians ("Applicant") pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b). (See Mot. to Intervene, | | |
| 19 | ECF 47.) Neither Plaintiff nor Defendants oppose the motion. (See Statement of Non-Opp'n by | | |
| 20 | County of Amador, ECF 49.) Because no party opposes Applicant's motion to intervene, and | | |
| 21 | because Applicant has identified common questions of law and fact with the original matter— | | |
| 22 | specifically, whether or not Applicant is a rec | cognized tribe subject to federal jurisdiction under | |
| 23 | /// | | |
| 24 | /// | | |
| 25 | /// | | |
| 26 | /// | | |
| 27 | Because oral argument will not be of materi | al assistance, the court orders this matter submitted | |
| 28 | on the briefs. E.D. Cal. L.R. 230(g). | | |
| | | 1 | |

| 1 | the Indian Reorganization Act of 1934—the court finds that permissive intervention under |
|--------|--|
| 2 | Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 24(b) is appropriate. Based on the foregoing, Applicant's motion |
| 3 | is GRANTED. |
| 4 | Dated: September 9, 2013 |
| 5 | |
| 6 7 | June Hunley |
| 8 | Troy L. Nunley |
| 9 | United States District Judge |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |