1

2

3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 CALIFORNIA SPORTFISHING No. 2:12-cv-01884-KJM-KJN PROTECTION ALLIANCE, 12 Plaintiff, 13 **ORDER** v. 14 CITY OF REDDING, 15 Defendant. 16 17 18 On July 9, 2013, the judge previously assigned to this matter approved a proposed 19 consent decree, which provided for final settlement of the case. ECF No. 22. Under the terms of 20 the consent decree, the court retained jurisdiction over the parties to enforce its terms if necessary, 21 and the decree expired June 28, 2016. ECF No. 20 at 5–6. On January 14, 2016, after the case 22 was reassigned to the undersigned, the undersigned ordered the parties to show cause why the 23 case should not be closed. ECF No. 26. Plaintiff California Sportfishing Protection Alliance 24 (CSPA) responded on January 21, 2016, and requested the court not close the case until defendant 25 City of Redding satisfied its obligations under the consent decree and the consent decree expired. 26 ECF No. 27. at 1. 27 The consent decree has now expired. The parties are now ORDERED TO SHOW 28 CAUSE within seven days why this case should not be closed. 1

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 30, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE