1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 PRESTON ALONZO HOWELL, No. 2:12-CV-1901-KJM-CMK-P 12 Petitioner, 13 **ORDER** VS. RICKY HILL, 14 15 Respondent. 16 17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this petition for a writ of 18 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. 19 Petitioner seeks the appointment of counsel (Doc. 20). There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See Nevius v. Sumner, 105 F.3d 20 21 453, 460 (9th Cir. 1996). However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A authorizes the appointment of counsel at 22 any stage of the case "if the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Fed. R. Governing 23 § 2254 Cases. For the reasons outlined in the court's March 13, 2013, findings and 24 recommendations, the court does not find that the interests of justice would be served by the 25 appointment of counsel. Further requests for the appointment of counsel will not be considered. /// 26 1

(HC) Howell v. Hill

Doc. 21

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's motion for appointment of counsel (Doc. 20) is denied.

DATED: May1, 2013

CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE