1 2 3 4 5	MARK F. HAZELWOOD, SBN 136521 DIRK D. LARSEN, SBN 246028 LOW, BALL & LYNCH 505 Montgomery Street, 7th Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 981-6630 Facsimile: (415) 982-1634 Email: mhazelwood@lowball.com Email: dlarsen@lowball.com	
6 7	Attorneys for Defendant SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRIC	T
8	UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CONNIE ARNOLD,	Case No. 2:12-CV-01998-LKK-EFB
11	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANT SUNRISE RECREATION &
12	,	PARK DISTRICT'S FIRST AMENDED
13	VS.	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF CONNIE ARNOLD'S FIRST AMENDED
14	COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive,	COMPLAINT; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
15	Defendants.	
16	2010110111101	
17	Comes now defendant SUNRISE RECI	REATION & PARK DISTRICT ("defendant" or "this
18	answering defendant") and, in answer to the all	legations in plaintiff CONNIE ARNOLD's First
19	Amended Complaint ("complaint") on file here	ein, admits, denies and alleges as follows:
20	In answer to the allegations of the intro	ductory paragraph of the complaint, this paragraph
21	contains plaintiff's introductory statement, to v	which no response is required. To the extent a response is
22	deemed required, defendant has no information	n or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for
23	that reason and basing its denial on that ground	l, denies both generally and specifically, each and every,
24	all and singular, the allegations contained there	in.
25	I. <u>I</u>	NTRODUCTION
26	1. In answer to the allegations of p	paragraph 1 of the complaint, this paragraph contains
27	plaintiff's characterization of the Americans w	ith Disabilities Act, to which no response is required. To
28	the extent a response is deemed required, defer	ndant has no information or belief to enable it to answer
		-1-

24

25

26

27

28

said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 2. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 2 of the complaint, defendant admits that Crosswoods Park is located near the corner of Auburn Boulevard and Van Maren Lane in the City of Citrus Heights; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 2 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 2 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 3 of paragraph 2 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 4 of paragraph 2 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 3. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 3 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the

allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 3 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of her suit, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 4 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's jurisdiction allegations, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to admit that this Court has jurisdiction of the above-captioned matter.
- 5. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 5 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's venue allegations, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to admit that venue is proper in this District.

III. PARTIES

- 6. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 6 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 7. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 7 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 8. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 8 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on

that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 9. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 9 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 10. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 10 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to admit that defendant is a public entity.
- 11. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 11 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to admit that defendant owns Crosswoods Park, which is located at 6742 Auburn Boulevard, Citrus Heights, California 95621.
- 12. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 12 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

IV. GOVERNMENT CLAIM

- 13. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 13 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 14. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 14 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

15. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 15 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

V. FACTS UPON WHICH ALL CLAIMS ARE BASED

- 16. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 16 of the complaint, admitted that the Sylvan Oaks Public Library is located adjacent to Crosswoods Park; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 16 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 16 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 3 of paragraph 16 of the complaint, admitted.
- 17. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 17 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 18. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 18 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 19. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 19 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 20. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 20 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on

that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 21. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 21 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 22. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 22 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 23. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 23 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 24. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 24 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 25. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 25 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 26. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 26 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 27. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 27 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on

///

///

28 | ///

that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 28. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 28 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 29. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 29 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 30. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 30 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

VI. NOTICE

31. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 31 of the complaint, including footnote number 1, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

-7-

VII. CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF THE AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT – TITLE II

42 U.S.C. § 1210 et seq. and § 12131 et seq.

- 32. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 32 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's incorporation by reference of previous paragraphs, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 33. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 33 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of the Americans with Disabilities Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself.
- 34. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 34 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of the Americans with Disabilities Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself.
- 35. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 35 of the complaint, admitted that defendant owns Crosswoods Park; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 35 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 35 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of the Americans with Disabilities Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself. In answer to the allegations of sentence 3 of paragraph 35 of the complaint, admitted that defendant is a public entity; in answer to the

remaining allegations of sentence 3 of paragraph 35 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 36. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 through subparagraphs a), b) and c) of paragraph 36 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of subparagraphs c)i. through c)iii. of paragraph 36 of the complaint, these subparagraphs contain plaintiff's characterization of a federal regulation, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the regulation, which speaks for itself.
- 37. In answer to the allegations of sentences 1 and 2 of paragraph 37 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 3 of paragraph 37 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

In answer to the allegations of the sentence following paragraph 37 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every,

all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION: VIOLATION OF SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT 29 U.S.C. § 794

- 38. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 38 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's incorporation by reference of previous paragraphs, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 39. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 39 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of the Rehabilitation Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself.
- 40. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 40 of the complaint, defendant admits that it is a governmental agency; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 40 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegation, in sentence 2 of paragraph 40 of the complaint, "but which are denied to Plaintiff on the basis of disability[,]" to the extent the allegation is directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegation; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 40 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 41. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 41 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to

answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 42. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 42 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 42 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.
- 43. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 43 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.
- 44. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 44 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

In answer to the allegations of the sentence following paragraph 44 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

///

3

5 6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:

Cal. Gov't Codes §§ 11135 and 4450 et seq.

- 45. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 45 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's incorporation by reference of previous paragraphs, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 46. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 46 of the complaint, from "Plaintiff is informed..." through "...Government Code § 1135," to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed against other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the remaining allegations of paragraph 46 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 47. In answer to the allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 47 of the complaint, admitted that defendant owns the Park; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 1 of paragraph 47 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein. In answer to the allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 47 of the complaint, from "Plaintiff is further..." through "...public portions of the Library and Park," defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; in answer to the remaining allegations of sentence 2 of paragraph 47 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed toward other defendants, defendant has no information

14

17

19

24 25

27

28

26

or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

- 48. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 48 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed toward other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 49. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 49 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed toward other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

In answer to the allegations of the sentence following paragraph 49 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION: CALIFORNIA DISABLED PERSONS ACT ("CDPA") Cal. Civ. Code § 54 et seq.

- 50. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 50 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's incorporation by reference of previous paragraphs, to which no response is required. To the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 51. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 51 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on

that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to admit that Crosswoods Park is open to the public.

- 52. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 52 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of the California Disabled Persons Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself.
- 53. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 53 of the complaint, this paragraph contains plaintiff's characterization of the California Disabled Persons Act, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, except to refer to the statute, which speaks for itself.
- 54. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 54 of the complaint, to the extent the allegations are directed against this answering defendant, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein; to the extent the allegations are directed toward other defendants, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.
- 55. In answer to the allegations of paragraph 55 of the complaint, defendant has no information or belief to enable it to answer said allegations, and for that reason and basing its denial on that ground, denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein.

In answer to the allegations of the sentence following paragraph 55 of the complaint, this sentence contains plaintiff's characterization of relief sought, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.

///

1	VIII. <u>PRAYER FOR RELIEF</u>
2	In answer to the allegations of page 18, lines 5-20 of the complaint, these allegations contain
3	plaintiff's prayer for relief, to which no response is required; to the extent a response is deemed
4	required, defendant denies both generally and specifically, each and every, all and singular, the
5	allegations contained therein, and specifically denies that plaintiff is entitled to any relief.
6	Except as expressly admitted above, defendant denies each and every allegation contained in
7	plaintiff's first amended complaint.
8	<u>AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>
9	<u>FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
10	AS AND FOR A FIRST, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
11	ALLEGES:
12	Plaintiff's complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this
13	answering defendant.
14	SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	AS AND FOR A SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
16	ALLEGES:
17	Plaintiff's complaint is barred by the applicable statute of limitations, including without
18	limitation, those provided for in California Code of Civil Procedure sections 335.1, 337, 337.1, 338,
19	339, 340, and 343, and Government Code section 12960.
20	THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
21	AS AND FOR A THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
22	ALLEGES:
23	Plaintiff has failed to mitigate her damages, and to the extent of this failure to mitigate, any
24	damages awarded to plaintiff should be reduced accordingly.
25	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
26	AS AND FOR A FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
27	ALLEGES:
28	Plaintiff has failed to exhaust her administrative remedies, and therefore plaintiff's complaint
	-15-

1	should be dismissed.
2	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
3	AS AND FOR A FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
4	ALLEGES:
5	Plaintiff has failed to join a party pursuant to Rule 19.
6	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	AS AND FOR A SIXTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
8	ALLEGES:
9	Plaintiff's claims are barred pursuant to the principles of res judicata and collateral estoppel.
10	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	AS AND FOR A SEVENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
12	ALLEGES:
13	Plaintiff assumed the risk of any injuries and/or damages resulting from the matters set forth in
14	her complaint. The assumption of risk by plaintiff was a cause of her injuries and/or damages.
15	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
16	AS AND FOR AN EIGHTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
17	ALLEGES:
18	Plaintiff was herself negligent and careless in and about the matters and events set forth in her
19	complaint and said negligence contributed to her alleged injuries and/or damages. A verdict of the jury
20	in favor of plaintiff, if any, which may be rendered in this case must therefore be reduced by the
21	percentage that plaintiff's negligence contributed to the accident and injuries complaint of, if any there
22	were.
23	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	AS AND FOR A NINTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
25	ALLEGES:
26	Any alleged discrimination was not arbitrary or intentional.
27	
28	
	16

1	<u>TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
2	AS AND FOR A TENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
3	ALLEGES:
4	Plaintiff is not a "qualified individual with a disability".
5	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
6	AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
7	ALLEGES:
8	The complaint and each of its causes of action are barred by the equitable doctrines of estoppel
9	and waiver.
10	TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
11	AS AND FOR A TWELFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
12	ALLEGES:
13	Defendant asserts that the alleged discriminatory conduct was required by law [Civil Code
14	section 51(c)].
15	THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
16	AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
17	ALLEGES:
18	Defendant asserts that its alleged refusal to allow access was not discriminatory, but was caused
19	by the structure of the facility(ies) and by the fact that plaintiff's special needs prevented her admission
20	to the facility without construction, alteration or modification that is not otherwise required by law
21	[Civil Code section 51(d), 52(g)].
22	FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
23	AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
24	ALLEGES:
25	Plaintiff was not discriminated against based solely on her disability.
26	
27	
28	
	1

1	FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
2	AS AND FOR A FIFTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
3	ALLEGES:
4	Plaintiff does not face a real or immediate threat of substantial injury and is therefore not
5	entitled to injunctive relief.
6	SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
7	AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
8	ALLEGES:
9	Any and all acts or omissions of defendant, its agents and employees, which allegedly caused
10	the injury at the time and place set forth were the result of an exercise of discretion vested in them.
11	SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
12	AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
13	ALLEGES:
14	Defendant alleges that plaintiff failed to set forth the facts sufficient to state a cause of action
15	due to a failure to comply with claims requirements of the California Government Code sections 900,
16	et. seq.
17	EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
18	AS AND FOR A EIGHTEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
19	ALLEGES:
20	Any and all mandatory duties imposed upon defendant, its agents and employees, the failure of
21	which allegedly created the condition complained of, were exercised with reasonable diligence and
22	therefore defendant is not liable pursuant to Government Code section 815.6.
23	NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
24	AS AND FOR A NINETEENTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
25	ALLEGES:
26	The plaintiff is barred from any recovery herein as to this answering defendant in that any
27	damages and injuries proven to have been sustained by the plaintiff herein would be the direct and
28	proximate result of the independent negligence and/or unlawful conduct of independent third parties, o
	i de la companya de

1	their agents, or employees and not any act or omission on the part of this answering defendant or its
2	agents or employees.
3	TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
4	AS AND FOR A TWENTIETH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
5	ALLEGES:
6	Defendant is immune from liability pursuant to the provisions of sections 815, 815.2, 815.3,
7	818, 818.2, 818.6, 820.2, 820.6, 820.8, 820.9 and 821 of the Government Code of the State of
8	California.
9	TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
10	AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT
11	ALLEGES:
12	When viewed in its entirety, the subject buildings and facilities are readily accessible to and
13	useable by individuals with disabilities.
14	TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
15	AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE,
16	DEFENDANT ALLEGES:
17	Defendant is not required to take any action that would result in a fundamental alteration
18	in the nature of its services, programs or activities, or in undue financial and administrative burdens.
19	TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
20	AS AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE,
21	DEFENDANT ALLEGES:
22	Defendant did not receive federal funding in association with the programs, activities, services
23	and benefits participated in by plaintiff.
24	TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FOURTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE,
26	DEFENDANT ALLEGES:
27	Plaintiff lacks standing.
28	
	10

TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 1 AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIFTH, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, DEFENDANT 2 **ALLEGES**: 3 Defendant has insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to whether 4 it may have additional, as yet unstated, affirmative defenses. Defendant reserves the right to answer 5 additional affirmative defenses in the event discovery indicates it would be appropriate. 6 WHEREFORE, Defendant requests that: 7 1. Plaintiff takes nothing by this action; 8 9 2. A judgment of dismissal be entered in favor of defendant; 3. Defendant be awarded attorney fees and costs of suit incurred; and 10 Defendant be awarded any other and further relief the court considers proper. 11 4. 12 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 13 Defendant hereby demands a jury trial in this action. 14 15 Dated: September 20, 2012. 16 17 LOW, BALL & LYNCH 18 19 By s/ Dirk D. Larsen MARK F. HAZELWOOD 20 DIRK D. LARSEN Attorneys for Defendant 21 SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT 22 23 24 25 26 27 28