JEFF A. HARRISON (SBN 151227) 1 JHarrison@metzharrison.com 2 MARY J. LIM (SBN 272170) MLim@metzharrison.com 3 **METZ & HARRISON, LLP** 139 Richmond Street 4 El Segundo, California 90245 5 Tel: (310) 648-8755 Fax: (310) 648-8734 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff, CONNIE ARNOLD 7 (Appearances continued on next page.) 8 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 **CONNIE ARNOLD** ) Case No.: 2:12-CV-01998-LKK-EFB 13 Civil Rights Plaintiff, 14 15 VS. CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER 16 FOR SETTLEMENT OF COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO; PLAINTIFF'S INJUNCTIVE RELIEF SACRAMENTO PUBLIC LIBRARY 17 **CLAIMS ONLY AS TO DEFENDANT AUTHORITY; SUNRISE** 18 SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK **RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT: DISTRICT** and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, 19 20 Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER FOR SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLAIMS ONLY AS TO DEFENDANT SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT

Doc

Arnold

County

Case No. 2:12-CV-01998-LKK-EFB

1	MARK F. HAZELWOOD (SBN 136521)
2	mhazelwood@lowball.com DIRK D. LARSEN (SBN 246028)
3	dlarsen@lowball.com
4	LOW, BALL & LYNCH
5	505 Montgomery Street, 7 <sup>th</sup> Floor San Francisco, California 94111
6	Tel: (415) 981-6630
7	Fax: (415) 982-1634
8	Attorneys for Defendant SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER FOR SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLAIMS ONLY AS TO DEFENDANT SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT Case No. 2:12-CV-01998-LKK-EFB

CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER

1. Plaintiff CONNIE ARNOLD ("Plaintiff") filed a First Amended Complaint ("Complaint") in this action on August 27, 2012 to enforce provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.*; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 ("Section 504"), 29 U.S.C. § 794; Cal. Civ. Code § 54 *et seq.*; and Cal. Gov't Code §§ 4450 *et seq.* and 11135 *et seq.*, against Defendants COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO ("COUNTY"); SACRAMENTO PUBLIC LIBRARY AUTHORITY ("SPLA"); and SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT ("SRPD") (collectively, "Defendants"). This Consent Decree and Order relates to resolution of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief only against Defendant SRPD only.

- 2. Plaintiff has alleged that Defendant SRPD violated Title II of the ADA, Section 504, and California civil rights laws and statutes by failing to provide full and equal access to its programs, services, and activities offered at Crosswoods Park ("Park"), which is located at 6742 Auburn Boulevard, Citrus Heights, California 95621. Defendant SRPD and Plaintiff (collectively, "Parties" hereafter) now seek to settle all of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief as to the barriers at the Park and agree that the terms of this Consent Decree and Order will satisfy all of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief only against Defendant SRPD as set forth in her Complaint in this matter.
- 3. Defendant SRPD answered the allegations of the Complaint with a number of denials and affirmative defenses, and have not waived and do not waive the same by entering into this Consent Decree. As such, nothing stated within this Consent Decree nor the fact of this consent decree shall be construed as an admission of any of the claims or of Plaintiff's allegations in her Complaint. Defendant SRPD maintains its denial of the allegations in the Complaint filed by Plaintiff and does not admit liability or responsibility as the Parties hereby enter into this Consent Decree for the purpose of entering into an early settlement of all of Plaintiff's claims for

injunctive relief as to SRPD as set forth in her Complaint without the need for protracted litigation.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. The Parties to this Consent Decree agree that the Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 for alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 *et seq.* and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, 29 U.S.C. § 794, and pursuant to supplemental jurisdiction under § 1367(a) for alleged violations of California civil rights laws and regulations that have been pled in the Complaint.
- 5. The Parties to this Consent Decree agree to entry of this Order to resolve all of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief as to SRPD raised in the Complaint filed with the Court in this matter. Accordingly, the Parties stipulate to the entry of this Order without trial or adjudication of any issues and as full resolution of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief only as to SRPD with respect to the condition of the subject property prior to completion of its modification pursuant to this Consent Decree.

WHEREFORE, the Parties to this Consent Decree hereby agree and stipulate to the Court's entry of this Consent Decree and Order, which provides as follows:

SETTLEMENT OF DIAINTIEE'S CLAIMS FOR INJUNCTIVE DELIVE AS

# SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF AS TO DEFENDANT SRPD ONLY:

6. The Parties have reached an agreement regarding Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief as to SRPD. Attached as **Attachment A** are all of the terms of the settlement for injunctive relief as agreed to between the Parties. Said Attachment is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth as the full and complete agreement between the Parties for settlement of all of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief as to SRPD as requested in Plaintiff's Complaint. All recommendations indicated on **Attachment A** are to be completed in accordance with the following provisions:

28

- a. Within six (6) months of Defendant SRPD's signing of the Consent Decree, SRPD agrees to make the modifications to Item Nos. 1.014 – 1.021; 1.023; 2.001 - 2.023; and 4.003 - 4.010.
- b. Within nine (9) months of Defendant SRPD's signing of the Consent Decree, SRPD agrees to make the modifications to Item Nos. 1.001 – 1.010 and 3.001.
- c. Within twenty-four (24) months of Defendant SRPD's signing of the Consent Decree, SRPD agrees to make the modifications to Item Nos. 1.011 - 1.013; 1.022; and 1.028 - 1.032.
- 7. Upon completion of the items in **Attachment A**, Defendant SRPD shall advise Plaintiff in writing that all items have been completed. Plaintiff shall then have the right to complete an inspection of the subject property by Plaintiff's representative and at Plaintiff's own expense within forty-five (45) days of being provided notice of completion by Defendant SRPD. In the event that Plaintiff believes that Defendant SRPD has not complied with the terms of this Consent Decree and Order, Plaintiff's counsel will inform Defendant SRPD's counsel of the alleged breach, and allow for thirty (30) days to negotiate a resolution. A motion to compel enforcement may be filed in the event that the Parties are unable to resolve the alleged breach of the terms of the Consent Decree and Order. Plaintiff will be entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees if Plaintiff is forced to file a motion to enforce the terms of the Consent Decree.
- 8. Plaintiff and Defendant SRPD have not reached an agreement to settle Plaintiff's claims for monetary relief, including attorneys' fees, and litigation expenses and costs, which the Parties agree will be resolved by way of negotiated settlement, motion, or trial.

#### **ENTIRE CONSENT ORDER:**

9. This Consent Decree and Order constitutes the entire agreement between the signing parties on the matter of Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief against

5	CONSENT ORDER RINDING ON PARTIES AND SUCCESSORS IN
4	against SRPD.
3	Consent Decree, shall be enforceable regarding Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief
2	any of the parties or agents of any of the parties, that is not contained in this written
1	SRPD, and no other statement, promise, or agreement, either written or oral, made by

## **INTEREST:**

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This Consent Decree and Order shall be binding on Plaintiff CONNIE 10. ARNOLD and Defendant SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT and any successors in interest. The Parties have a duty to so notify all such successors in interest of the existence and terms of this Consent Decree and Order during the period of the Court's jurisdiction of this Consent Decree and Order.

### MUTUAL RELEASE AND WAIVER BETWEEN PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT SRPD OF CIVIL CODE § 1542 AS TO PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF ONLY:

11. Except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree and any fees and costs incurred by filing a motion to enforce this Consent Decree, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself, her respective agents, attorneys, representatives, predecessors, successors, heirs, partners and assigns, releases and forever discharges Defendant SRPD and all its franchisors, franchisees, partners, managers, employees, joint ventures, successors, heirs, assigns, directors, shareholders, subsidiaries, stockholders, parent companies, officers, board members, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers insurance carriers, predecessors, and representatives of each other Party, from all claims and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, presently known or unknown, arising out of or in any way connected with Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief against Defendant SRPD in the lawsuit and the incidents alleged therein with respect to injunctive relief only for the physical condition of the subject property prior to completion of its modification pursuant to this Consent Decree including its accessibility, whether or not addressed in the lawsuit.

24

25

26

27

28

- 12. Except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree, and any fees and costs incurred by filing a motion to enforce this Consent Decree, Defendant SRPD and its franchisors, franchisees, partners, managers, employees, joint ventures, successors, heirs, assigns, directors, shareholders, subsidiaries, stockholders, parent companies, officers, board members, employees, agents, attorneys, insurers insurance carriers, predecessors, and representatives, releases and forever discharges Plaintiff and her respective agents, attorneys, representatives, predecessors, successors, heirs, partners and assigns, from all claims and causes of action of whatever kind or nature, presently known or unknown, arising out of or in any way connected with Plaintiff's claims for injunctive relief against Defendant SRPD in the lawsuit, the incidents alleged therein, with respect to injunctive relief only for the physical condition of the property prior to completion of its modification pursuant to this Consent Decree including its accessibility, whether or not addressed in the lawsuit.
- 13. The Parties understand and agree that there is a risk and possibility that, subsequent to the execution of this Consent Decree, any or all of them will incur, suffer, or experience some further loss or damage with respect to the lawsuit which are unknown or unanticipated at the time this Consent Decree is signed. Except for all obligations required in this Consent Decree between Plaintiff and Defendant SRPD and all obligations between or amongst the Defendants, the Parties intend that this Consent Decree apply to all conditions that existed at the subject facilities. Therefore, except for all such obligations required in this Consent Decree between Plaintiff and Defendant SRPD and all obligations between or amongst the Defendants, this Consent Decree shall apply to and cover any and all claims, demands, actions and causes of action by the Parties to this Consent Decree with respect to the injunctive relief issues in the lawsuit against Defendant SRPD and the current condition of the Park and any or all improvements thereon, whether the same are known, unknown or hereafter discovered or ascertained, and the provisions of § 1542 of the California Civil Code are hereby expressly waived. Section 1542

1	provides as follows:
2	A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO
3	CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT
4	THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF
5	KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE
6	DEBTOR.
7	
8	TERM OF THE CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER:
9	14. This Consent Decree shall be in full force and effect as described herein
10	and in <b>Attachment A</b> . The Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of
11	enforcing provisions of this Consent Decree until the terms of this Consent Decree
12	and Order are satisfactorily completed.
13	SEVERABILITY:
14	15. If any term of this Consent Decree and Order is determined by any court
15	to be unenforceable, the other terms of this Consent Decree and Order shall
16	nonetheless remain in full force and effect.
17	SIGNATORIES BIND PARTIES:
18	16. Signatories on the behalf of the Parties represent that they are authorized
19	to bind the Parties to this Consent Decree. This Consent Decree may be signed in
20	counterparts and a facsimile signature shall have the same force and effect as an
21	original signature.
22	
23	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
24	
25	Dated: June 28, 2013 By: /s/- Connie Arnold*
26	Plaintiff CONNIE ARNOLD
27	

28

1	///
2	Defendant SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT
3	PARK DISTRICT
4	Dated: June 18, 2013 By: /s/- Dave Mitchell**
5	Its: <u>Dave Mitchell, District Administrator</u>
6	
7	
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM ONLY:
9	
10	Dated: July 01, 2013 METZ & HARRISON, LLP
11	
12	By: /s/- Mary J. Lim
13	JEFF A. HARRISON
14 15	MARY J. LIM Attorneys for Plaintiff, CONNIE ARNOLD
16	, a constant of the contract o
17	Dated: June 24, 2013 LOW, BALL & LYNCH
18	
19	
20	By: /s/-Mark F. Hazelwood (as authorized on 6.24.13) MARK F. HAZELWOOD
21	DIRK D. LARSEN
22	Attorneys for Defendant SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT
23	
24	* Original signature retained by Metz & Harrison, LLP
25	** Original signature retained by Low, Ball & Lynch
26	
27	
28	

CONSENT DECREE AND ORDER FOR SETTLEMENT OF PLAINTIFF'S INJUNCTIVE RELIEF CLAIMS ONLY AS TO DEFENDANT SUNRISE RECREATION & PARK DISTRICT Case No. 2:12-CV-01998-LKK-EFB