1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	KENNETH HARVEY,	No. 2:12-cv-02029 KJM DB P	
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	J. BARBOUR,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas		
18	corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as		
19	provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		
20	On March 16, 2021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations (ECF No.		
21	63), which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections		
22	to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within thirty days. Thirty days have passed		
23	and neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
24	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
25	602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
26	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
27	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
28	/////		
		1	

1	\ldots ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be
2	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.
3	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
4	1. The findings and recommendations filed March 16, 2021 (ECF No. 63) are adopted;
5	2. Respondent's motion for summary judgement (ECF No. 53) is granted; and
6	3. The clerk of court is directed to close this case.
7	DATED: September 22, 2021.
8	100 a a a
9	
10	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2