UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT J. BARRON, III,

Plaintiff,

v.

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SOLANO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:12-cv-02108 KJM DAD P

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed August 22, 2013, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In addition, plaintiff was ordered to file within thirty days a new application to proceed in forma pauperis and a certified copy of his trust account statement. Thirty days from the date of the order have now passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, his in forma pauperis application and trust account statement, or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: September 30, 2013



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:4
bar2108.fta-ifp