(PS) Ajuluchuku v. Citibank

Doc. 10

U.S.C. § 1915. Thereafter, should the pleading surpass the screening phase, the United States Marshal will be ordered to effectuate service of that pleading upon the named defendant(s). After such service occurs, Citibank and/or other defendants may respond by filing responsive pleadings or motions to dismiss. Until that time, however, Citibank's motion is premature. For the reasons stated above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: Accordingly, the undersigned denies Citibank's Motion to Dismiss (Motion, Dkt. No. 4) without prejudice at this time, and vacates the hearing date currently set in connection with that motion. If the undersigned orders that the complaint be served after screening it pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, Citibank may re-file its motion to dismiss after being served with process. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: November 8, 2012 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE