

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LAMONT CROSSLEY,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
ABE NIAZI, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:12-cv-2180 TLN CKD P  
  
NOTICE OF THIS COURT’S EXPEDITED  
TRIAL SETTING PROCEDURES UPON  
CONSENT OF ALL PARTIES

Due to the extraordinarily high case load of the district court judges in this district, trials in civil rights actions concerning prison conditions are often conducted by United States Magistrate Judges with the consent of all the parties. A trial conducted by a magistrate judge is far more likely to proceed on a scheduled trial date. Presently, when a civil trial is set before a district judge, any criminal trial which conflicts with the civil trial will take priority, even if the civil trial date was set first. Thus, a civil trial set before a district judge is often trailed day to day or week to week until the completion of the matter occupying the district court. Consenting to the jurisdiction of a magistrate judge for all purposes will generally expedite the resolution of an action.

The parties are therefore reminded of the availability of a United States Magistrate Judge to conduct all proceedings in this action. A United States Magistrate Judge is available to conduct trials, including entry of final judgment, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), Federal Rule of

1 Civil Procedure 73, and Local Rule 305. Any appeal from a judgment entered by a United States  
2 Magistrate Judge is taken directly to the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit.

3 If all parties in this action consent to the jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge,  
4 this Court will immediately schedule a trial setting hearing. The Court will proceed as follows at  
5 the trial setting hearing:

- 6 1. Set the matter for trial on a date certain before this Court<sup>1</sup>; and
- 7 2. By agreement of the parties, vacate any previously set deadlines, such as  
8 dispositive motion deadlines, if the parties stipulate that such deadlines are unnecessary and the  
9 parties desire to proceed to trial expeditiously after the closing of the discovery deadlines.

10 Consistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Eastern  
11 District, if the matter proceeds to trial under this process, the Court will handle the trial just as  
12 any other civil case which comes before the Court.

13 Withholding consent or declining jurisdiction of a United States Magistrate Judge for all  
14 purposes will have no effect on the merits of a party's case or have any adverse substantive  
15 consequences.<sup>2</sup> A party may also consent to magistrate judge jurisdiction at any time, even if the  
16 party has previously declined such jurisdiction.

17 Dated: December 15, 2015

18   
19 \_\_\_\_\_  
20 CAROLYN K. DELANEY  
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22  
23 2/cros2180.exped

24 \_\_\_\_\_  
25 <sup>1</sup> See Court's Discovery and Scheduling Order. If claims remain after a decision on the  
26 dispositive motion, a schedule will be set for motions in limine, pre-trial statements, motions for  
27 incarcerated witnesses, and motions for payment of unincarcerated witness fees, all prior to the  
28 first day of trial.

<sup>2</sup> Even if the parties decline consent, under Local Rule 302 the assigned magistrate judge will still  
conduct a number of pretrial matters.