


1 date an additional week due to the limited time he will have to prepare Steadfast's portions of the
2 joint statements regarding the parties' discovery disputes given the current hearing date, the
3 existence of potential scheduling conflicts, and his belief that the parties may be able to resolve
4 one or more of their discovery disputes without court intervention if given additional time. The
5 court is inclined to grant Steadfast's request, but is unavailable on the date on which he seeks to
6 have the hearing rescheduled. Furthermore, LMI has not had an opportunity to respond and voice
7 its opposition, if any, to Steadfast's request. Accordingly, the court maintains the current
8 February 2, 2017 hearing date, but directs LMI to file a brief statement regarding whether it
9 opposes a continuance of that hearing to February 16, 2017, at 10:00 a.m.

10 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that by no later than January 26,
11 2017, at 5:00 p.m., LMI shall file with the court a brief statement regarding whether it opposes
12 Steadfast's request to continue the February 2, 2017 hearing to February 16, 2017, and, if so, its
13 reasons why Steadfast's request should be denied. If LMI does not oppose a continuance, but has
14 a scheduling conflict with the court's proposed February 16, 2017 hearing date, it shall state as
15 much and provide alternative dates for the continued hearing.² If the court does not receive a
16 response from LMI by the above deadline, it will continue the hearing date to February 16, 2017.

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: January 25, 2017

19
20 KJN/amd

19 
20 _____
21 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
22 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

23
24
25
26
27 ² Similarly, if Steadfast has a scheduling conflict with this proposed date, its counsel shall contact
28 plaintiff's counsel and the undersigned's courtroom deputy and provide alternate dates for a
continued hearing.