

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OLEGS KOZACENKO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.  
CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL  
OFFICER ANDREW P. MURRILL, et al.,  
Defendants.

No. 2:12-cv-2196 MCE DAD

ORDER


On October 18, 2013, this matter came before the court for hearing of plaintiff's motion to compel. Plaintiff's motion was granted and defendants were ordered to produce documents subject to the parties entering into a stipulated protective order. Thereafter, the parties informed the court that they had reached an impasse as to a term of the stipulated protective order.

Accordingly, this matter again came before the court on November 22, 2013, for hearing of the parties' disagreement with respect to the terms of the stipulated protective order. Attorney Stewart Katz appeared telephonically on behalf of the plaintiff. Deputy Attorney General Jill Scally appeared telephonically on behalf of the defendants.

Upon consideration of the arguments on file and at the hearing, and for the reasons set forth on the record at the hearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendants' request that

1 plaintiff be prohibited from having access to the discovery produced pursuant to the court's  
2 previous order is denied and such a limitation shall not be included in the parties' stipulated  
3 protective order.

4 Dated: November 22, 2013

5 

6 

---

DALE A. DROZD  
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 DAD:6  
9 Ddad1\orders.civil\kozacenko2196.oah.112213

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28