1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 LARRY DALE JOHNSON, No. 2:12-cv-2239-EFB P 12 Petitioner. 13 **ORDER** v. 14 GARY R. STANTON, et al., 15 Respondents. 16 17 Petitioner is a federal prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus. See 28 18 U.S.C. § 2241. On February 6, 2014, respondent Grondolsky filed a motion to dismiss. On 19 February 7, 2014, respondents Solano County Jail and Barrett filed a motion to dismiss. 20 Petitioner has not filed an opposition or a statement of no opposition to respondents' motions to 21 dismiss. 22 A responding party's failure "to file written opposition or to file a statement of no 23 opposition may be deemed a waiver of any opposition to the granting of the motion and may 24 result in the imposition of sanctions." L. R. 230(1). Failure to comply with any order or with the 25 Local Rules "may be grounds for imposition of any and all sanctions authorized by statute or 26 Rule or within the inherent power of the Court." L. R. 110. The court may dismiss this action 27 with or without prejudice, as appropriate, if a party disobeys an order or the Local Rules. See 28 Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1263 (9th Cir. 1992) (district court did not abuse discretion in 1

dismissing pro se plaintiff's complaint for failing to obey an order to re-file an amended complaint to comply with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure); *Carey v. King*, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir. 1988) (dismissal for pro se plaintiff's failure to comply with local rule regarding notice of change of address affirmed).

Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that, within 21 days of the date of this order, petitioner shall file either an opposition to the motions to dismiss or a statement of no opposition. Failure to comply with this order may result in dismissal of this action without prejudice.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE