

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LARRY DALE JOHNSON,
Petitioner,
v.
GARY R. STANTON, et al.,
Respondents.

No. 2:12-cv-2239-EFB P

ORDER

Petitioner is a federal prisoner without counsel seeking a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.¹

On February 6, 2014, respondent Grondolsky filed a motion to dismiss. On February 7, 2014, respondents Solano County Jail and Barrett filed a motion to dismiss. Petitioner failed to timely file an opposition to either motion. On March 19, 2014, the court informed petitioner of the requirements for filing an opposition to any motion to dismiss. That order gave petitioner 21 days to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition and warned him that failure to do so would result in dismissal of this action without prejudice.

////

////

¹ This case is before the undersigned pursuant to the parties' consent. ECF Nos. 5, 31; see 28 U.S.C. § 636(c)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 73; E.D. Cal. L.R. 301, 305.

1 The 21 days have passed and petitioner has not filed an opposition or a statement of no
2 opposition nor otherwise responded to the March 19, 2014 order.²

3 Accordingly, this action is dismissed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Rule 12, Rules Governing
4 § 2254 Cases. The court declines to issue a Certificate of Appealability.

5 Dated: April 24, 2014.

6 
7 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 _____
27 ² Although it appears from the file that petitioner's copy of the order was returned, he was
28 properly served. It is a party's responsibility to keep the court apprised of a current address at all
times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is
fully effective.