

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 BRENT R. LETT,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

No. 2:12-cv-2265 TLN GGH PS

13 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
14 REHABILITATION, et al.,

15 Defendants.

FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS

16 _____/
17 By order filed November 21, 2012, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and
18 twenty-eight days leave to file an amended complaint was granted. In that order, the court
19 informed plaintiff of the deficiencies in his complaint. The twenty-eight day period has now
20 expired, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's
21 order.

22 Plaintiff has apparently decided to rest on the dismissed complaint. For the
23 reasons given in the November 21, 2012, order, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this
24 action be dismissed with prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District
26 Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen

1 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written
2 objections with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's
3 Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the
4 specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951
5 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 DATED: April 11, 2013

7
8 /s/ Gregory G. Hollows
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 GGH:076/Lett2265.fta.wpd
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26