1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ASHIT ZINZUWADIA,	
12	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-02281-KJM-KJN	
13	VS.	
14	MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC.,	
15	et al.,	
16	Defendants. ORDER	
17	/	
18	On September 6, 2012, plaintiff Ashit Zinzuwadia ("plaintiff") filed a Complaint	
19	(Compl., Dkt. No. 1) and an Application to Proceed In Forma Pauperis (the "IFP Application")	
20	(Dkt. No. 2). Following an initial screening, the undersigned dismissed plaintiff's original	
21	pleading with leave to amend. (Order, Dkt. No. 6.) Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on	
22	February 11, 2013. (First Am. Compl., Dkt. No. 7.)	
23	On February 25, 2013, defendant American Mortgage Network, Inc. ("American")	
24	filed a Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint. (Motion, Dkt. No. 8.) American set the	
25	motion to be heard on March 28, 2013. (Id.) However, the First Amended Complaint has not yet	
26	been screened pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Neither American nor any of the four other named	
	1	1

1

defendants have yet been served with process; if such service was effectuated, the court's
 electronic docket does not reflect it.

Given plaintiff's status as a litigant proceeding without counsel and in forma
pauperis, the amended pleading in this case needs to be screened by the undersigned pursuant to
28 U.S.C. § 1915. Thereafter, should the amended pleading surpass the screening phase, the
United States Marshal will be ordered to effectuate service of that pleading upon the defendants.
After such service occurs, the defendants may respond by filing responsive pleadings or motions
to dismiss. Until that time, however, American's motion is premature.

American's Motion to Dismiss (Motion, Dkt. No. 8) is denied without prejudice
at this time, and the hearing date currently set in connection with that motion is hereby
VACATED. If the undersigned orders that the amended complaint be served after screening it
pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, American may re-file its motion to dismiss after being served with
process.

For the reasons stated above, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 DATED: March 6, 2013

KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE