(PS)Alfaro v. Vital Records			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	MIKAELLA CRISTINA ALFARO,		
11	Plaintiff, No. 2	2:12-cv-2293-JAM-EFB PS	
12	vs.		
13	VITAL RECORDS,		
14	Defendant. <u>FINI</u>	DINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
15			
16	On September 6, 2012, plaintiff, proceeding in pro se, requested leave to proceed in		
17	forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915. The matter was referred to the undersigned by		
18	Local Rule 302(c)(21), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).		
19	On August 22, 2012, the undersigned issued findings and recommendations herein,		
20	finding that plaintiff had failed to demonstrate that	Finding that plaintiff had failed to demonstrate that she has insufficient assets to pay the filing fee	
21	d costs and provide the necessities of life for herself and her two dependents, and therefore		
22	commending that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis be denied and that		
23	plaintiff be given thirty days in which to pay the filing fee of \$350.00. Dckt. No. 3.		
24	On October 10, 2012, the findings and recommendations were adopted by the district		
25	judge; plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis was denied; and plaintiff was given		

Doc. 7

stated that "[f]ailure to timely pay the filing fee will result in a recommendation by the magistrate judge that this action be dismissed."

Although the deadline has passed, the court docket reflects that plaintiff has not paid the filing fee as ordered. Instead, plaintiff filed another application to proceed in forma pauperis. Dckt. No. 6. However, that application once again fails to demonstrate that plaintiff has insufficient assets to pay the filing fee and costs and provide the necessities of life for herself and her two dependents. Therefore, the undersigned will recommend that this action be dismissed for failure to prosecute. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); L.R. 110.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that:

- 1. This action be dismissed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), based on plaintiff's failure to prosecute the action; and
 - 2. The Clerk be directed to close this case.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. *Turner v. Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). DATED: November 13, 2012.

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE