UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
MICHAEL E. SCOTT,	No. 2:12-cv-2326 AC P	
Plaintiff,		
v.	<u>ORDER</u>	
TIM VIRGA, et al.,		
Defendants.		
Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, seeks relief pursuant to		
42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed a motion requesting the appointment of counsel. The United		
States Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent		
indigent prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298		
(1989). In certain exceptional circumstances, the court may request the voluntary assistance of		
counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.		
1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990). In the present case, the		
court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff's request for the		
appointment of counsel will therefore be denied.		
Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's October 29, 2013, request for the		
////		
////		
	1	
	FOR THE EASTERN  MICHAEL E. SCOTT,  Plaintiff,  V.  TIM VIRGA, et al.,  Defendants.  Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding particle of the prisoner of the pr	

(PC) Scott v. Virga

Doc. 32

1	appointment of counsel (ECF No. 31) is denied.	
2	DATED: November 6, 2013	
3	17	ALLISON CLAIRE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
4	τ	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		