1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROBERT KALANI, No. CIV. S-12-2330 LKK/CKD 12 Plaintiff, 13 ORDER v. 14 CASTLE VILLAGE LLC, FUJINAKA PROPERTIES, L.P., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Defendants have filed an application for reconsideration of 18 this court's March 13, 2014 order striking their second summary 19 judgment motion (ECF No. 43), filed while their first summary 20 judgment motion was still pending a hearing. Defendants assert 21 that they are being denied their Due Process rights because 22 outside of filing a new, successive summary judgment motion, they 23 had, and continue to have, no way to bring their mootness 24 arguments before the court. 25 The assertion is frivolous. Defendants were free to include 26 whatever mootness arguments they wished in their Reply papers, 27 which they filed on the same day (March 10, 2014), that they 28

filed their successive summary judgment motion. Moreover, defendants are still free to include whatever mootness arguments they wish in their upcoming opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment.

Defendants' application does not explain why they did not, or could not, include their mootness arguments in their Reply, nor why they cannot include them in their upcoming opposition to plaintiff's motion for summary judgment. Nor does the application explain why these opportunities to be heard on the mootness issue are not dispositive of defendants' Due Process concerns.

Accordingly, defendants' application for reconsideration (ECF No. 45) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 21, 2014.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT