

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 LLOYD M. THOMAS,

No. 2:12-cv-2412 EFB P

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

ORDER

13 G. SWARTHOUT, et al.,

14 Defendants.  
15

16 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42  
17 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 12, 2015, the court granted plaintiff's motion for additional  
18 discovery and revised the schedule in this action. ECF No. 55. In doing so, the court noted that,  
19 with regard to several of defendants' discovery responses, plaintiff had failed to indicate why the  
20 response defendants provided was inadequate. For that reason, the court declined to order  
21 defendants to provide additional responses to those particular discovery requests. Plaintiff has  
22 requested reconsideration of the court's order on those contested discovery responses and is now  
23 providing the reasons why he finds the initial responses inadequate. ECF No. 56. Those stated  
24 reasons provide clarity that was not fully stated in the original requests. Accordingly, the court  
25 will deny the request for reconsideration and directs plaintiff to re-serve the contested discovery  
26 requests on defendants within the new discovery deadlines provided in the February 11, 2015  
27 order (March 30, 2015). If plaintiff believes that defendants' responses are again inadequate,  
28 plaintiff must file a motion to compel on or before April 30, 2015.

1 Plaintiff has also sent a letter to the clerk asking for clarification of the February 11th  
2 order. ECF No. 57. The February 11th order directed defendants to respond to those discovery  
3 requests by March 30, 2015. Plaintiff need not re-serve these requests nor file another motion to  
4 obtain responses. If, when he receives them, plaintiff believes that the responses are inadequate,  
5 he must file a motion to compel further responses by April 30, 2015, as directed in the February  
6 11th order.

7 Accordingly, it is hereby ORDERED that plaintiff's February 25, 2015 motion for  
8 reconsideration (ECF No. 56) is denied.

9 DATED: March 4, 2015.

10   
11 EDMUND F. BRENNAN  
12 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28