

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH SMITH ARDELL,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-2488 LKK EFB P

vs.

SACRAMENTO SHERIFF'S
DEPARTMENT, et al.,

Defendants.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

_____/

Plaintiff proceeds pro se with this civil action. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). On April 9, 2013, the court dismissed plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim. The dismissal order explained the complaint's deficiencies, gave plaintiff 30 days to file an amended complaint correcting those deficiencies, and warned plaintiff that failure to file an amended complaint would result in this action being dismissed. The time for acting has passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.

Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action is DISMISSED. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days

1 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written
2 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
3 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Failure to file objections
4 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order. *Turner v.*
5 *Duncan*, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); *Martinez v. Ylst*, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

6 Dated: May 13, 2013.

7 
8 EDMUND F. BRENNAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26