

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THEODORE HEINEMANN,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-2520 LKK DAD PS

vs.

M. WILLIAMS,

Defendant.

ORDER SETTING STATUS
(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
CONFERENCE

_____ /

This action was transferred from the Northern District of California to this court on October 9, 2012. Plaintiff Theodore Heineman is proceeding pro se. The action has therefore been referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) for all purposes encompassed by that rule.

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, January 4, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.

2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or, if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status

1 conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the
2 courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours
3 before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be
4 provided.

5 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve his own separate status report on or before
6 **December 21, 2012**, and defendant shall file and serve his own separate status report on or
7 before **December 28, 2012**. Each party's status report shall address all of the following matters:

- 8 a. Progress of service of process;
- 9 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 10 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 11 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 12 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 13 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof,
14 including disclosure of expert witnesses;
- 15 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of
16 appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law
17 and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial
18 conference and trial;
- 19 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures
20 specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity
21 or complexity of the action;
- 22 i. Whether the case is related to any other case,
23 including matters in bankruptcy;
- 24 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate
25 judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement
26 judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his
 so acting, or whether they prefer to have a
 Settlement Conference before another magistrate
 judge;
- k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a
 United States Magistrate Judge; and
- l. Any other matters that may aid in the just and
 expeditious disposition of this action.

1 4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear
2 at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local
3 Rules 110 and 183.

4 DATED: November 9, 2012.

5
6 
7 _____
8 DALE A. DROZD
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

10 DAD:6
11 Ddad1\orders.pro se\heinemann2520.oss