Rebecca E. Ihejirika, SBN: 225823 1 Law Office of Rebecca E. Ihejirika 2 1600 Sacramento Inn Way, Suite 109 3 Sacramento, CA 95815 4 Tel.: (916) 972-8774 5 Attorney for Plaintiff - Milton Sykes 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 SACRAMENTO DIVISION 10 11 MILTON SYKES) CASE NO.: 2:12-CV-02570-TLN-KJN 12 Plaintiff) STIPULATION AND 13) ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR 14 Vs.) FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION 15) TO DEFENDANTS' MOTIONS FOR 16) SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND 17) DEFENDANTS' REPLY 18 19 DR. ATHANNASIOUS, ET AL. 20 And DOES 1 THROUGH 5 21 Defendants) JUDGE: THE HONORABLE KENDALL J. 22) NEWMAN 23 24 On March 16, 2016, the Court ordered that pretrial motions be filed within sixty (60) 25 days of the order. (See ECF No.136). The court gave Plaintiff forty-five (45) days to oppose 26 any motion for summary judgment and Defendants' reply due seven (7) days thereafter. The 27 court encouraged the parties to stipulate to additional time should Plaintiff require additional 28 time to file an opposition. 29 On May 13, 2016 Defendant – Dr. Khaira filed his Motion for Summary Judgment 30 (ECF No. 137.) On May 16, 2016 Defendants – Drs. Athannasious, Weiland and Bick filed 31 their Motion for Summary Judgment (ECF No. 138.) 32

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANTS' REPLY

CASE NO.: 2:12-cv-02570-TLN-KJN

On May 20, 2016, the court appointed Rebecca A. Weinstein-Hamilton as co-counsel to represent plaintiff for the limited purpose of opposing Defendants' motions for summary judgment.

Based on the very nature of the case, the motions for summary judgment filed by all defendants are voluminous and necessitate significant attorney time in opposing them. In addition, medical experts need to be retained to assist in responding to said motions.

An extension of time up to and including October 31, 2016, for Plaintiff to file his oppositions to the motions for summary judgment will not significantly impact the progress of this case or unfairly prejudice the Defendants. Defendants' reply shall be due within forty-five (45) days after filing of Plaintiff's opposition to the motions for summary judgment.

Plaintiff, by and through his attorney of record –Rebecca E. Ihejirika, and Defendants - Dr. Athannasious, Dr. Weiland and Dr. Bick by and through their attorney - Deputy Attorney General – Kevin W. Reager, together with Defendant – Dr. Khaira by and through his attorney – Theodore D. Poppinga, hereby stipulate and request that the Court grant the said parties an extension of the deadline by which Plaintiff shall file his oppositions to the motions for summary judgment and the Defendants' shall file their reply. This request is not brought for any improper purpose.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, by and through his attorney of record –Rebecca E. Ihejirika, and Defendants - Dr. Athannasious, Dr. Weiland and Dr. Bick by and through their attorney - Deputy Attorney General – Kevin W. Reager, together with Defendant – Dr. Khaira by and through his attorney – Theodore D. Poppinga hereby agree and stipulate as follows:

- (1) The deadline for Plaintiff to file his Oppositions to the Motions for Summary Judgment is extended up to and including, October 31, 2016.
- (2) Defendants' reply shall be due within forty-five (45) days after filing of Plaintiff's Oppositions to the Motions for Summary Judgment.

	//
 	//

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED:
2	
3	Detai 06/24/2016 Signi /a/ Dahagaa E Ibaiiwilya
5	Date: <u>06/24/2016</u> Sign: <u>/s/ Rebecca E. Ihejirika</u> REBECCA E. IHEJIRIKA
6	Attorney for Plaintiff Milton Sykes
$\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$	
9	Date:06/24/2016 Sign:/s/ Kevin W. Reager
10	KEVIN W. REAGER
11	Attorney for Defendants – Dr. Athannasious, Dr.
12	Weiland, Dr. Bick
13	
14	Date: <u>06/23/2016</u> Sign: <u>/s/ Theodore D. Poppinga</u>
15	THEODORE D. POPPINGA
16	Attorney for Defendant – Dr. Khaira
17	IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:
18	1.) Plaintiff – Milton Sykes shall file his Oppositions to Defendants' Motions for Summary
19	Judgment on or before October 31, 2016.
20	2.) Defendants' Reply shall be due within forty-five (45) days after filing of Plaintiff's
21	Oppositions to the Motions for Summary Judgment.
22	
23	Dated: June 28, 2016
24	Fertill Paker
	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	CIVILD STITLES THE CONTROL OF THE CO

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO EXTEND TIME FOR FILING OF PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITIONS TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND DEFENDANTS' REPLY

CASE NO.: 2:12-cv-02570-TLN-KJN