(PC) Mosley	v. Broyles					
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
6						
7						
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
10	DERRICK M	MOSLEY,				
11		Plaintiff,		No. 2:12-cv-2681 (CKD P	
12	VS.					
13	BROYLES,			ORDER &		
14		Defendant.		FINDINGS AND R	ECOMMENDA	<u>ATIONS</u>
15			/			
16	By an order filed November 2, 2012, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in					
17	forma pauperis application within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result					
18	in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and					
19	plaintiff has not responded to the court's order and has not filed a completed in forma pauperis					
20	application.					
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court assign a district					
22	judge to this action.					
23	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed					
24	without preju	idice.				
25	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District					
26	Judge assigne	ed to the case, pursi	uant to the p	rovisions of 28 U.S.C	C. § 636(b)(l). V	Within fourteen
				1		

Doc. 5

days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: December 10, 2012

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

mosl2681.fifp