1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MAURICE JOHNSON,	No. 2:12-cv-02719 GEB KJN (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	TOM FELKER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed December 3, 2013, plaintiff's complaint was dismissed and thirty days	
18	leave to file an amended complaint was granted. ECF No. 19. Thirty days from that date have	
19	now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint, or otherwise responded to the	
20	court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
22	prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days	
25	after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections	
26	with the court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings	
27	and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified	
28	////	

1	time may weige the right to appeal the District Court's order. Mertines v. Vlet. 051 F.2d 1152	
	time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153	
2	(9th Cir. 1991).	
3	Dated: January 10, 2014	
4	Fordal J. Newman	
5	john2719fta KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		