I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	ANDRE L. REVIS,		
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-2751 EFB P		
12	VS.		
13	DALE SYERSON, et al.,		
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>		
15	/		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding without counsel in an action brought under 42		
17	U.S.C. § 1983. He requests that the court appoint counsel. District courts lack authority to		
18	require counsel to represent indigent prisoners in section 1983 cases. <i>Mallard v. United States</i>		
19	Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In exceptional circumstances, the court may request an		
20	attorney to voluntarily to represent such a plaintiff. <i>See</i> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1); <i>Terrell v</i> .		
21	Brewer, 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir. 1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36		
22	(9th Cir. 1990). When determining whether "exceptional circumstances" exist, the court must		
23	consider the likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the plaintiff to articulat		
24	his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer v. Valdez, 560		
25	F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009). Having considered those factors, the court finds there are no		
26	exceptional circumstances in this case.		

1

1	Accordingly IT IS HEREE	BY ORDERED that plaintiff's request for appointment of
2	counsel, Dckt. No. 11, is denied.	ST ORDERED that plaintiff s request for appointment of
2	DATED: April 29, 2013.	Selmind F. Bieman
4	DiffED. ripin 29, 2013.	EDMUND F. BRENNAN
5		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
		2
	l	