1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	CURTIS NUNEZ, JR.,
11	Plaintiff, No. 2:12-cv-2775 KJN P
12	VS.
13	K.M. PORTER, et al.,
14	Defendants. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Plaintiff requests a twenty-day extension of time within which to file and serve his
17	opposition to defendants' motion to dismiss. (Dkt. No. 21.) Defendants provided plaintiff with
18	notice of the requirements for opposing their motion to dismiss, pursuant to Wyatt v. Terhune,
19	315 F.3d 1108, 1120 n.14 (9th Cir. 2003), and Woods v. Carey, 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012).
20	(See Dkt. No. 20.) For good cause shown, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff shall file
21	and serve his opposition within twenty days after service of this order. Defendants shall file and
22	serve their reply, if any, within seven days thereafter.
23	SO ORDERED.
24	DATED: May 8, 2013 Revolution Alexander
25	KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26	nune2775.eot
	1