1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	CURTIS NUNEZ, JR.,	No. 2:12-cv-2775 JAM KJN P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	K.M. PORTER, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	The Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, in Albino v. Baca, F.3d, 2014 WL 1344468	
18	(9th Cir. Apr. 7, 2014) (en banc), recently held that a motion for summary judgment pursuant to	
19	Rule 56, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, not an "unenumerated 12(b) motion" to dismiss, is the	
20	appropriate vehicle for challenging a prisoner's claims based on an alleged failure to exhaust	
21	administrative remedies.	
22	In the present case, the undersigned properly considered defendants' motion to dismiss	
23	pursuant to the former procedure and standard; however, in light of <u>Albino</u> , that procedure and	
24	standard no longer apply.	
25	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
26	1. The undersigned's amended findings and recommendations filed March 26, 2014 (ECF	
27	No. 36), are withdrawn;	
28	////	
l	I	

	${\mathfrak l}$	
1	2. Defendants' motion to dismiss for failure to exhaust administrative remedies (ECF No.	
2	20), is denied without prejudice;	
3	3. The extension of time accorded to plaintiff for filing objections (ECF No. 38), is moot;	
4	and	
5	4. Defendants may file and serve, within thirty (30) days after the filing date of this order,	
6	a motion for summary judgment based on plaintiff's alleged failure to exhaust his administrative	
7	remedies, consistent with Albino v. Baca, F.3d, 2014 WL 1344468; the motion shall	
8	include notice to plaintiff of the evidentiary requirements for opposing the motion, see Woods v.	
9	<u>Carey</u> , 684 F.3d 934 (9th Cir. 2012); <u>Rand v. Rowland.</u>	
10	SO ORDERED.	
11	Dated: April 18, 2014	
12	Ferdal P. Newman	
13	/Nune2775.Albino.withdraw.F&R. KENDALL J. NEWMAN UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		