1	Galen T. Shimoda (Cal. State Bar No. 226752) Jennet F. Zapata (Cal. State Bar No. 277063)		
2	Shimoda Law Corp. 9401 East Stockton Blvd., Suite 200		
3	Elk Grove, CA 95624 Telephone: (916) 525-0716;		
4	Facsimile: (916) 760-3733		
	Email: attorney@shimodalaw.com jzapata@shimodalaw.com		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff SUSI MCFARLAND		
7	Howard A. Sagaser (Cal. State Bar No. 72492)		
8	Marcia Ann Ross (Cal. State Bar No. 160489) Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo 5260 N Palm Ave., Suite 300		
9	Fresno, CA 93704 Telephone: (559) 225-6700		
10	Facsimile: (559) 255-3416 Email: <u>Hsagaser@aalrr.com</u>		
11			
12	Attorneys for Defendant ALMOND BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
13	Please refer to signature page for additional parties		
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	SUSI MCFARLAND,	Case No.: 2:12-CV-02778-JAM-CKD	
17	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND ORDER	
18	vs.	REGARDING TIME LIMITS TO FILE OPPOSITION AND REPLY BRIEFS TO	
19		MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT	
20	ALMOND BOARD OF CALIFORNIA; TIM BIRMINGHAM, an individual, and DOES 1		
21	to 100, inclusive,		
22	Defendants.		
23	WHEREAS, on or about May 7, 2013, Plaintiff's counsel, Mr. Galen T. Shimoda,		
24	requested Defendants' counsel, Ms. Marcia Ross, Mr. Howard A. Sagaser, and Mr. Michael		
25	Baytosh to stipulate to extend the time Plaintiff would have to file an opposition brief to a		
26	motion for summary judgment, if filed in this matter; and		
27	//		
28			
		1	

1	WHEREAS, on or about May 7, 2013, the parties agreed Plaintiff would have four		
2	weeks (28 days) to file an opposition brief to a motion for summary judgment, instead of		
3	fourteen (14) days as provided by Local Rule 230(c); and		
4	WHEREAS, on or about May 13, 2013, the parties agreed that any motion for summar		
5	judgment would be filed and served no less than 60 days prior to the scheduled hearing; and		
6	WHEREAS, on or about May 13, 2013, the parties agreed Defendants would have		
7	fourteen (14) days to file a reply brief to any opposition to a motion for summary judgment,		
8	instead of seven (7) days as provided by Local Rule 230(d);		
9	THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED as follows:		
10	By and through their attorneys of record, the parties agree to modify the time limitation		
11	provided by Local Rule 230(c) and (d) in that Plaintiff will have twenty eight (28) days to file		
12	an opposition brief to a motion for summary judgment; Defendants will have fourteen (14) day		
13	to file a reply brief to any opposition to a motion for summary judgment, and any motion for		
14	summary judgment will be filed and served on all parties no less than sixty (60) days prior to		
15	the scheduled hearing.		
16	DATED: May 24, 2013 Shimoda Law Corp.		
17	By: /s/Galen T. Shimoda		
18	Galen T. Shimoda Attorney for Plaintiff SUSI MCFARLAND		
19	DATED: May 24, 2013 Atkinson, Andelson, Loya, Ruud & Romo		
20	By: /s/Howard A. Sagaser		
21	Howard A. Sagaser Marcia Ann Ross		
22	Attorney for Defendant ALMOND BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	DATED: May 23, 2013	Prout LeVangie
2		D vv. /o/
3		By: /s/ Michael Baytosh (Cal. State Bar No.176189) Attorney for Defendant TIM BIRMINGHAM
4		Prout LeVangie 2021 N Street
5		Sacramento, CA 95811 Telephone: (916) 443-4849 Facsimile: (916) 443-4855
6		Facsimile: (916) 443-4855 Email: michael.baytosh@proutlaw.com
7		Email: interact.ouytosire proutaw.com
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: 5/24/2013	/s/ John A. Mendez John A. Mendez
10		U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		3
		J

Case No.: 2:12-cv-02778-JAM-CK