1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANTHONY W. ROBINSON,	No. 2:12-cv-02783 MCE GGH
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, proceeding in this action pro se, initially filed this civil rights action on	
18	November 13, 2012. On March 11, 2015 the District Court adopted Findings and	
19	Recommendations granting the defendants' motion for summary judgment, ECF 125, and	
20	judgment was entered against plaintiff on the same day. ECF No. 126. Plaintiff appealed the	
21	judgment on March 20, 2015, ECF No. 127. The judgment was affirmed except to the extent that	
22	plaintiff was permitted to proceed with a claim based solely on his allegation of a discriminatory	
23	2009 performance evaluation, i.e., that the performance evaluation itself was potentially an	
24	"adverse action" remediable in and of itself. ECF No. 130.	
25	As a result of the foregoing IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
26	1. The Clerk of the Court shall reopen this case and serve the parties with a copy of	
27	this Court's Scheduling Order along with this Order;	
28		
		1

1	2. The parties shall meet and confer and file a Joint Scheduling Statement in
2	conformity with this Order and the court's Scheduling Order within 30 days of this Order.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: July 19, 2017
5	/s/ Gregory G. Hollows
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	2
	—