1 2	KROLOFF, BELCHER, SMART, PERRY & CHRISTOPHERSON A Professional Law Corporation	
3	VELMA K. LIM, SBN 111006 JAMIE M BOSSUAT, SBN 267458	
4	7540 Shoreline Drive Stockton, CA 95219	
5	Phone: (209) 478-2000 Facsimile: (209) 478-0354	
6	Email: vlim@kroloff.com jbossuat@kroloff.com	
7	Attorneys for Defendant COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN, sued	
8	erroneously as SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC	
9	DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT	
10		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SACRAMENTO BRANCH	
13	ANTHONY W. ROBINSON,	CASE NO. 2:12-CV-02783 CKD
14	 Plaintiff,	NOTICE OF REQUEST AND REQUEST TO
15	riantun,	REDACT DOCUMENTS
16	vs.	Trial Date: June 10, 2019
17	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY EMPLOYMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Courtroom: 24 Magistrate Judge: Hon. Carolyn K. Delaney
18	DEPARTMENT AND JOHN SOLIS,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22	TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:	
23	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant will and hereby does move this Court for an	
24	order redacting the names and employee numbers on trial exhibits 30 through 37 such that	
25	only the first name and last initial is unredacted. This Motion is made pursuant to Eastern	
26	District Local Rule 141 and is based upon the privacy interests of the individual employees.	
27	This Motion is based upon this Notice of Motion, the accompanying Memorandum of	
28	Points and Authorities, the unredacted exhibits previously submitted to the Court in this	

Dated: May 28, 2019

matter, and the redacted exhibits which are included with this Motion.

KROLOFF, BELCHER, SMART, PERRY & CHRISTOPHERSON A Professional Law Corporation

By: /s/ Jamie M. Bossuat
JAMIE M. BOSSUAT
Attorneys for Defendant
COUNTY OF SAN JOAQUIN

REQUEST TO REDACT – POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiff's trial exhibits include employee performance evaluations for individuals who are not parties to this case. In order to protect the privacy interests of these individuals, Defendant County of San Joaquin requests that the Court order that the names on the evaluations be partially redacted such that only the first name and last initial of the individuals appears on the evaluations. Additionally, the County requests that the employee numbers be redacted from the evaluations. Defendant has attached its proposed redactions to this Motion anticipating that if the Motion is granted, these copies can be substituted for the unredacted versions that were previously submitted to the Court.

Eastern District Local Rule 141 provides that documents may be sealed only by written order of the Court, upon the showing required by applicable law. Defendant's request is supported by the individual employee's right to privacy. The California Constitution recognizes "inalienable rights," including the right of privacy which are broader than those guaranteed by the U.S. Constitution. California Constitution, Art. I, § 1; *American Academy of Pediatrics v. Lungren*, 16 Cal.4th 307, 327-328 (1997). The right to privacy includes individual's interest in precluding the dissemination or misuse of sensitive and confidential information. *See Hill v. National Collegiate Athletic Ass'n*, 7 Cal.4th 1, 20, 35; *Sheehan v. San Francisco 49ers, Ltd*, 45 Cal.4th 992, 10000 (2009).

Employee performance reviews are sensitive documents maintained as part of personnel files that the employees understand are protected from public disclosure.

Defendant's potential redaction of the employee number and the last name except for the last

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Having read and considered the foregoing points and authorities, and good cause appearing therefore, Defendant County of San Joaquin's request to redact Plaintiff's Trial Exhibits nos. 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, and 37 is GRANTED. The employee name will be redacted so that only the first name and last initial remain unredacted and the employee numbers will be redacted.

Dated: June 7, 2019

CAROLYN K. DELANEY
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Carop U. Delan