1 ROBERT L. ZALETEL, Bar No. 96262 rzaletel@littler.com 2 LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C. 650 California Street 3 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94108.2693 4 Telephone: 415.433.1940 Facsimile: 415.399.8490 5 6 Attorney for Defendant SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 DUSTIN MUSICK and ROBERT Case No. 2:12-CV-02786 KJM-CMK LONSBERRY, on behalf of themselves 12 and all others similarly situated; STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE ORDER CONCERNING 13 CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS Plaintiff, PRODUCED IN DISCOVERY 14 v. 15 SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS, INC., 16 Defendant. 17 18 This agreement is entered into by and between the undersigned attorneys 19 on behalf of their respective clients, DUSTIN MUSICK and ROBERT LONSBERRY 20 and on behalf of all others similarly situated ("Plaintiffs") and SAVE MART 21 22 SUPERMARKETS ("Defendant"). Plaintiff and Defendant (hereinafter "Parties") have or will request the 23 24 production of certain documents for inspection and copying, and will take depositions in conjunction with discovery in this litigation, and 25 26 These documents and depositions could include sensitive, confidential and/or proprietary information and records including, but not limited to, employee 27 personnel records, employee earnings records, sales figures, training materials, 28 STIP. & PROTECTIVE ORDER RE

CONF. DOCS PRODUCED IN DISC.

Case No. 2:12-CV-02786 KJM-CMK

7

9

11

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19 20

21 22

24

23

25 26 27

28

employee contact information, and employer manuals and procedures and policy manuals (hereinafter "Confidential Material").

Prejudice or harm could come to employees and former employees of Defendant if their personal and contact information is disclosed to third parties, and their rights of privacy under the California and federal constitutions could be jeopardized. Defendant could suffer harm to its business and competitive advantage if its sales figures, schedules, staffing plans, policies, proprietary training materials, and other confidential business information were disclosed to its competitors. protective order is necessary to protect the interests of Plaintiffs and Defendant against dissemination of confidential and proprietary information.

Copies of Confidential Material, including portions of depositions and deposition transcripts and exhibits, will be marked "Confidential" on the document, or on the record at the deposition.

Confidential documents and/or depositions, and deposition transcripts and exhibits, all copies thereof, and any summaries, charts or notes made therefrom, and any facts or information contained therein or derived therefrom, shall be disclosed only to the Court and/or to: (a) the parties; (b) counsel for the parties hereto and their agents, employees, paralegals, or other secretarial and clerical employees or agents; (c) experts or consultants retained by one or more of the parties to this action or their counsel, to assist in preparation of this action for trial; (d) deponents and their counsel; (e) stenographic reporters and videographers who are involved in depositions, the trial or any hearings or proceedings before the Court in this action; and (f) witnesses at the trial of this action.

No person authorized hereunder to view copies of Confidential Material, or to make notes therefrom, may disclose any portion of the subject matter or contents of either to any person not authorized hereunder. Experts and consultants and witnesses shown Confidential Material must sign the attached acknowledgement agreeing to be bound by this Order.

STIP. & PROTECTIVE ORDER RE

650 California Street
2016 Floor
San Francisco, CA 94108.2693
415.433.1940
CONF. DOCS. PRODUCED IN DISC.

The Confidential Material, copies of any portion of the Confidential Material itself, and all notes arising from examination of said Confidential Material, as well as discussions of the contents therein, shall be used only in connection with the instant case, and shall not be used in connection with any other lawsuit or for any other purpose whatsoever, unless such Confidential Material is independently discovery in another proceeding. Within 180 days following the conclusion of this action, including appeals, if any, the parties and their counsel, upon written request of the other party, shall destroy or return all Confidential Materials and provide notice to the other parties' attorneys of record.

This Protective Order is without prejudice to reconsideration by the Court as discovery continues.

The Parties may request that Confidential Material be filed under seal. However, any such request shall be subject to approval by the Court, for "compelling reasons," upon noticed motion, pursuant to Local Rule 141, under the standards set forth in *Kamakana v. Honolulu*, 447 F.3d 1172, 1179 (9th Cir. 2006).

Any Party may move the Court for relief from, or modification of, this order at any time, and each Party reserves the right to contend in any such motion that documents produced by another Party and information contained therein are not confidential. This stipulation does not constitute an admission as to the admissibility of any Confidential Material at trial.

In the event any third parties serve a subpoena or document request in other litigation to a party holding Confidential Material in this case, the party subject to the subpoena or document request will promptly notify the other party that produced the Confidential Material to allow that party to file objections or otherwise attempt to prevent disclosure of the Confidential Material to the third party, and will not produce the Confidential Material to the third party until legally required to do so.

The Parties agree to act in good faith in designating Confidential Material and agree not to use this Stipulation for any purpose other than as stated herein. The STIP. & PROTECTIVE ORDER RE

1	parties agree to make a good faith determination that any information designated
2	"confidential" truly warrants protection under Rule 26(c) of the Federal Rules of Civil
3	Procedure. Designations of material as "Confidential" must be narrowly tailored to
4	include only materials for which there is good cause.
5	DATED: December 6, 2012
6	/s/ R. L. Zaletel ROBERT L. ZALETEL
7	LITTLER MENDELSON, P.C.
8	Attorneys for Defendant SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS
9	
10	DATED: December 6, 2012
11	/s/ Robert W. Mills ROBERT W. MILLS
12	THE MILLS LAW FIRM
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs DUSTIN MUSICK and ROBERT
14	LONSBERRY
15 16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

1	ORDER
2	For good cause appearing, it is SO ORDERED.
3	
4	Date: <u>12/28/2012</u>
5	
6	Lrais M. Kellison
7	
8	CRAIG M. KELLISON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9	Firmwide:116765898.1 061792.1016
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28