1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	HENRY IVAN COGSWELL,
11	Petitioner, No. 2:12-cv-2807 CKD P
12	VS.
13	MATTHEW CATE,
14	Respondent. <u>ORDER</u>
15	/
16	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a complaint challenging his
17	conditions of confinement at his current and former places of incarceration on a form for a
18	petition for a writ of habeas corpus. Petitioner has paid the \$5.00 filing fee and consented to
19	jurisdiction by a United States Magistrate Judge.
20	Petitioner fails to state a cognizable habeas corpus claim. Petitioner is clearly
21	attempting to challenge his conditions of confinement, and, in particular, the services provided to
22	ADA inmates at High Desert State Prison and some of his former places of incarceration.
23	Petitioner acknowledges that he has filed a complaint challenging his conditions of confinement
24	on a habeas corpus form, but asks the court to construe his filing as a claim brought under the
25	Americans with Disabilities Act. (Dkt. No. 1 at 10.) The court will not do so. If petitioner
26	wishes to file a complaint challenging his conditions of confinement, he must file it on the proper
	1

form and pay the appropriate filing fee, which is \$350.00. Accordingly, the petition will be
 dismissed.

Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that petitioner's application
for a writ of habeas corpus (Dkt. No. 1) is dismissed and the clerk is directed to close this case.

Dated: March 4, 2013

CAROLYN K. DELANEY / UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

cogs2807.dismiss