

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 KOSAL VONG, an individual,

11 Plaintiff,

NO. CIV. S-12-2860 LKK/DAD

12 v.

O R D E R

13 BANK OF AMERICA, N.A.,  
14 (for itself and as the  
15 successor to COUNTRYWIDE  
16 HOME LOANS, INC., d/b/a  
17 America's Wholesale Lender,  
18 Inc., and as successor to  
19 BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP);  
20 and DOES 1 through 100,  
21 inclusive,

22 Defendants.  
23 \_\_\_\_\_/

24 For the reasons that follow, this case will be dismissed for  
25 failure to prosecute, and this order will be forwarded to the State  
26 Bar of California to ensure that it is aware of the conduct of  
27 plaintiff's counsel, Vicki St. John, Esq. (Cal. Bar No. 139625).

28 1. In violation of E.D. Cal. R. 230(b), plaintiff failed to  
29 file a timely opposition, or Statement of Non-Opposition, to  
30 defendant's motion to dismiss (ECF No. 8). The court issued an  
31 Order To Show Cause why plaintiff's counsel should not be

1 sanctioned for this conduct. ECF No. 11. Plaintiff's counsel  
2 responded by explaining that she was distracted with health issues  
3 involving her 81 year old widowed mother in Omaha, Nebraska, and  
4 health issues involving her husband. ECF No. 13. The Court  
5 discharged the OSC, and continued the hearing on the motion to  
6 dismiss. ECF No. 15.

7 2. In violation of E.D. Cal. R. 230(i), plaintiff's counsel  
8 failed to appear at the May 13, 2013 continued hearing on the  
9 motion to dismiss, and failed to notify the court or opposing  
10 counsel that she would not appear. ECF No. 23 (Minutes). On May  
11 14, 2013, the court issued an Order To Show Cause (OSC) why counsel  
12 should not be sanctioned for this conduct, and submitted the  
13 dismissal motion on the papers. ECF No. 24.

14 3. In violation of the May 14, 2013 OSC, plaintiff's counsel  
15 never responded to the OSC.

16 4. In violation of this court's prior order, plaintiff  
17 failed to file a required Status Report for the scheduled June 24,  
18 2013, Status Conference. See ECF No. 5 ¶ 8 (status reports due 14  
19 days preceding the status conference).

20 Accordingly:

21 a. This case is **DISMISSED** for failure to prosecute,  
22 pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b);

23 b. The Clerk of the Court shall send a copy of this  
24 order, along with a completed "Discipline Referral Form,"<sup>1</sup> to the

---

25 <sup>1</sup> See

26 (continued...)

1 State Bar of California, Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, Intake,  
2 1149 South Hill St., Los Angeles, CA 90015-2299.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: June 24, 2013.


5

6

7

8

9

  
LAWRENCE K. KARLTON  
SENIOR JUDGE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 <sup>1</sup>(...continued)

25

26 [www.calbar.ca.gov/Portals/0/documents/Regulation/2012-Discipline  
ReferralForm.pdf](http://www.calbar.ca.gov/Portals/0/documents/Regulation/2012-DisciplineReferralForm.pdf)