| 1 | | | |
|----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | | |
| 9 | FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | WALTER HOWARD WHITE, | No. 2:12-cv-2868 MCE AC P | |
| 12 | Plaintiff, | | |
| 13 | V. | ORDER | |
| 14 | SMYERS, et al., | | |
| 15 | Defendants. | | |
| 16 | | | |
| 17 | Plaintiff has filed a "Supplemental Statement to Court's Order of April 23, 2015," again | | |
| 18 | seeking to stay his further deposition. See ECF No. 158. Plaintiff again asserts that his "urgent | | |
| 19 | medical needs" preclude reconvening his deposition. This court previously considered plaintiff's | | |
| 20 | medical needs and side effects to medications, together with his other allegations, as a motion for | | |
| 21 | protective order to deny or postpone his further deposition, and denied the motion. For the | | |
| 22 | reasons previously stated, see ECF No. 153 at 5-8, the court will not revisit these matters, which | | |
| 23 | have been repeatedly and thoroughly addressed. ¹ | | |
| 24 | ¹ As this court has previously noted, plaintiff's lengthy and numerous typed filings | | |
| 25 | controvert his complaints of spinal pain so severe as to preclude his further deposition. The preliminary injunctive relief that plaintiff now seeks – that defendants provide plaintiff with | | |
| 26 | necessary medical care, including surgery, prior to reconvening his deposition – is inconsistent with the reasonable inference drawn from plaintiff's copious, cogent and typed filings that he is | | |
| 27 | capable of extended concentration and mainta | aining an upright posture. Plaintiff's current demand | |
| 28 | on the merits of this action. Moreover, as the | est for permanent injunctive relief should he prevail court has repeatedly noted, numerous | |

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: | |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | 1. Plaintiff's "Supplemental Statement," ECF No. 158, construed as a further request for | |
| 3 | protective order precluding plaintiff's further deposition, is DENIED, for the reasons previously | |
| 4 | stated by the court, see ECF No. 153 at 5-8, 9. | |
| 5 | 2. Subject to the conditions previously established by the court, see id. at 7-8, defendants | |
| 6 | shall together schedule plaintiff's further deposition on one day between August 31, 2015 and | |
| 7 | October 16, 2015, and shall inform the court of such date within five days of its scheduling. | |
| 8 | DATED: August 5, 2015 | |
| 9 | allison clane | |
| 10 | UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | accommodations were previously made to support plaintiff's medical and medication needs during his initial deposition, and such accommodations remain available to plaintiff when he | |
| 28 | participates in his further deposition. See ECF No. 153 at 7-8. | |
| | 2 | |
| | | |