1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ANDRES WILLIAMS, No. 2:12-cv-2894-KJM-KJN-P 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 FREEZE, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief 18 under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided 19 by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On October 24, 2013, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings 22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff has not filed objections to 23 the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having carefully 27 reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record 28 and by the proper analysis. 1

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	۱	

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 24, 2013, are adopted in full; and
- 2. Defendants Swarthout, Freeze, Hardy, Foston, and Allen are dismissed from this action without prejudice.

DATED: December 19, 2013.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE