1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN HEMSLEY,	No. 2:12-cv-2930-JAM-EFB P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
14	G. SWARTHOUT, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed April 16, 2014, plaintiff's first amended complaint was dismissed and thirty	
18	days leave to file a second amended complaint was granted. More than thirty days from that date	
19	have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint, or otherwise responded	
20	to the court's order.	
21	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without	
22	prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. Local Rule 110.	
23	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
24	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen (14)	
25	days after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written	
26	objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned	
27	"Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any reply to the objections	
28	shall be served on all parties and filed with the	ne court within fourteen (14) days after service of the
		1

1	objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may	
2	waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th	
3	Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991).	
4	DATED: May 29, 2014.	
5	Elmind F. Bieman	
6	EĎMUND F. BRĚNNAN	
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25 26		
26 27		
27		
20	2	