

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 MAURICE MUHAMMAD, 1:12-cv-01929-GSA-PC
12 Plaintiff, ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
13 vs. SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN
14 PATRICK HENNESSEY, DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 Defendant.

17 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
18 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

19 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendant Patrick
20 Hennessey. The alleged violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the
21 Sacramento Division of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California.
22 Therefore, the complaint should have been filed in the Sacramento Division.

23 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
24 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will
25 be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in
26 forma pauperis.

27 | //

28 | //

1 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

2 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
3 California sitting in Sacramento; and
4 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
5 filed at:

6 United States District Court
7 Eastern District of California
8 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
Sacramento, CA 95814

9 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11 Dated: December 6, 2012

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28