1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	HAAS BROS LLC,
11	Plaintiff, Case No. 2:12-cv-3003 JAM DAD PS
12	VS.
13	EHT LOZARO; <u>ORDER</u> YOLANDA LOZARO,
14	
15	Defendants.
16	/
17	Defendants are proceeding pro se in the above-entitled action. The matter was
18	referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21).
19	On December 18, 2012, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
20	herein which were served on defendants and which contained notice that any objections to the
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days after service of the findings
22	and recommendations. The fourteen-day period has expired, and defendants have not filed
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.
24	The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
25	supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis.
26	/////
	1

1	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:
2	1. The findings and recommendations filed December 18, 2012 (Doc. No. 4) are
3	adopted in full;
4	2. This action is summarily remanded to the San Joaquin County Superior Court;
5	and
6	3. This case is closed.
7	DATED: March 18, 2013
8	/s/ John A. Mendez
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
20	
20	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	2