1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 No. 2:12-cv-3006 KJM AC P BERNARDOS GRAY, JR, 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. **ORDER** 14 T. VIRGA, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate 18 19 Judge as provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. 20 On October 6, 2015, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which 21 were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the 22 findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 95. Neither party 23 has filed objections to the findings and recommendations. 24 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602 25 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. 26 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed 27 the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by 28 the proper analysis. 1

## 

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- $1. \ \, \text{The findings and recommendations filed October 6, 2015 (ECF No. 95), are adopted in full; and}$
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction or protective order (ECF No. 90) is denied as moot.

DATED: February 29, 2016

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE