

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

EMIR SEHIC,

Plaintiff,

No. 2:12-cv-3030 WBS DAD PS

vs.

WILLIAM VAN ANDERSON;
MAYUKA S ANDERSON,

ORDER SETTING STATUS
(PRETRIAL SCHEDULING)
CONFERENCE

Defendants

_____ /

This action was transferred from the Middle District of Alabama to this court on December 17, 2012. Plaintiff Emir Sehic, defendant William Van Anderson and defendant Mayuka S. Anderson are proceeding pro se. The action has therefore been referred to the undersigned pursuant to Local Rule 302(c)(21) for all purposes encompassed by that rule.

Pursuant to the provisions of Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, IT IS ORDERED that:

1. A Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference is set for **Friday, March 29, 2013, at 10:00 a.m.**, at the United States District Court, 501 I Street, Sacramento, California, in Courtroom No. 27, before the undersigned.

////

1 2. Each party is required to appear at the Status Conference, either by counsel or,
2 if proceeding in propria persona, on his own behalf. Any party may appear at the status
3 conference telephonically if the party pre-arranges such appearance by contacting Pete Buzo, the
4 courtroom deputy of the undersigned magistrate judge, at (916) 930-4128, no later than 48 hours
5 before the Status (Pretrial Scheduling) Conference; a land line telephone number must be
6 provided.

7 3. Plaintiff shall file and serve his own separate status report on or before **March**
8 **15, 2013**, and each defendant shall file and serve their own separate status report on or before
9 **March 22, 2013**. Each party's status report shall address all of the following matters:

- 10 a. Progress of service of process;
- 11 b. Possible joinder of additional parties;
- 12 c. Possible amendment of the pleadings;
- 13 d. Jurisdiction and venue;
- 14 e. Anticipated motions and the scheduling thereof;
- 15 f. Anticipated discovery and the scheduling thereof,
16 including disclosure of expert witnesses;
- 17 g. Future proceedings, including the setting of
18 appropriate cut-off dates for discovery and for law
and motion, and the scheduling of a final pretrial
conference and trial;
- 19 h. Modification of standard pretrial procedures
20 specified by the rules due to the relative simplicity
or complexity of the action;
- 21 i. Whether the case is related to any other case,
22 including matters in bankruptcy;
- 23 j. Whether the parties will stipulate to the magistrate
24 judge assigned to this matter acting as settlement
judge, waiving any disqualification by virtue of his
25 so acting, or whether they prefer to have a
Settlement Conference before another magistrate
judge;
- 26 k. Whether the parties intend to consent to proceed before a

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

United States Magistrate Judge; and

1. Any other matters that may aid in the just and expeditious disposition of this action.

4. The parties are cautioned that failure to file a status report or failure to appear at the status conference may result in an order imposing an appropriate sanction. See Local Rules 110 and 183.

DATED: January 22, 2013.



DALE A. DROZD
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DAD:6
Ddad1\orders.pro se\shic3030.oss