2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Daniel S. Brome, CA State Bar No. 278915 dbrome@nka.com NICHOLS KASTER, LLP 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 810 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 277-7235 Facsimile: (415) 277-7238 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BLANK ROME LLP Howard M. Knee, Esq. (SBN 55048) knee@blankrome.com Michael L. Ludwig, Esq. (SBN 173850) ludwig@blankrome.com Caitlin I. Sanders (SBN 294143) csanders@blankrome.com 2029 Century Park East, 6th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (424) 239-3400 Facsimile: (424) 239-3400 Facsimile: (424) 239-3434 Attorneys for Defendant PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB	
	GINA MCKEEN-CHAPLIN, individually, on	Case No.: 2:12-cv-03035-MCE-AC
18 19	behalf of all others similarly situated, and on behalf of the general public,	
		JOINT REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL
19	behalf of the general public,	JOINT REQUEST FOR DISMISSAL FRCP 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); ORDER
19 20	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs,	
19 20 21	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v.	
19 20 21 22	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v. PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB,	
19 20 21 22 23	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v. PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB,	
19 20 21 22 23 24	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v. PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB,	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> </ol>	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v. PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB,	
<ol> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> <li>25</li> <li>26</li> </ol>	behalf of the general public, Plaintiffs, v. PROVIDENT SAVINGS BANK, FSB,	

1	The Superior Court for Alameda County granted final settlement approval to the state law			
2	class action settlement in Neal v. Provident Savings Bank, FSB by order dated November 13, 2018,			
3	and served on November 20, 2018. A copy of the Neal Final Approval Order is attached hereto as			
4	Exhibit 1. Because the Neal court granted final approval without substantive modification, this			
5	case may be closed. In light of the final settlement approval in Neal, and this Court's previous			
6	grant of settlement approval, the parties now stipulate that this action may be dismissed.			
7	Therefore, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by			
8	and between the parties hereto through their attorneys that this action be dismissed in its entirety.			
9	Except as specifically stated in the parties' settlement agreement and this Court's order, each side			
10	0 shall bear its own fees and costs.	shall bear its own fees and costs.		
11	1			
12	2 Respec	tfully submitted,		
13		hew C. Helland		
14		w C. Helland ys for Plaintiffs		
15	5			
16		nael L. Ludwig		
17		l L. Ludwig ys for Defendant		
18	8			
19	9			
20	0			
21	1			
22	2			
23	3			
24	4			
25	5			
26	6			
27	7			
28				
	-2- STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL			

1	ORDER
2	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation (ECF No. 136) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), this
3	matter is DISMISSED in its entirety, with each party to bear its own fees and costs. The Clerk of
4	the Court is directed to close this case.
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: December 29, 2018
7	Molan 16 1.
8	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25 26	
26 27	
27 28	
20	-3-
	STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL